| Counsel for Respondent   | (for Court use) FILED   |
|--|---|
| RICHARD D. ACKERMAN  | CED 0.9 201h  |
| 27638 MOONRIDGE DR   | SEP 22 2014   |
| MENIPER, CA 92585  | STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  |
|  | LOS ANGELES   |
| In the Matter of   | Case no(s). 14- Pm - 04575  |
| RICHARD D. ACKERMAN  | S211542 (12-0-13441)  |
| Bar # 171900   | PROBATION REVOCATION RESPONSE   |
| A member of the State Bar of California ("Respondent")   | (Rule 5.314, Rules of Procedure)  |
| which set forth the facts upon which my opposition to the  (1) Respondent requests a hearing in this matter  OR  (2) Respondent requests that this proceeding be |   |
| If you checked box (1), check one of the following:  |   |
| (a) Respondent requests the opportunity to cross-examine the person(s) who executed declaration(s) in support of the motion to revoke my probation.              |   |
| (b) Respondent does <u>not</u> request the opposition executed declaration(s) in support of  | portunity to cross-examine the person(s) who f the motion to revoke my probation. |
|  |   |
|  |   |



1 Richard D. Ackerman (171900) 27638 Moonridge Drive 2 Menifee, CA 9585 (951) 231-8841 3 Attorneys for Self-Represented Member, 4 RICHARD D. ACKERMAN 5 6 7 STATE BAR COURT 8 HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES 9 10 In re: ) Case No.: 14-PM S211542 (12-0-13461) 11 RICHARD D. ACKERMAN (171900) ) PROBATION REVOCATION RESPONSE PER ) RULE OF PROCEDURE 5.314 12 A Member of the California State Bar) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Responding Party and Member Richard D. Ackerman, State bar No. 20 171900, here Responds to the Motion to Revoke Probation as follows: 21 I. REQUEST FOR HEARING: 22 It is hereby respectfully requested that the State Bar Court, Los 23 Angeles Hearing Office, allow a hearing on the merits of this matter and 24 upon any and all such evidence, additional briefing, or arguments of 25 counsel, as may be had at the time of any scheduled hearing. See, Rules 26 5.314(A) and 5.314(E) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of 27 California. 28

## II. DECLARATION OF RICHARD D. ACKERMAN IN RESPONSE TO MOTION TO REVOKE PROBATION

## I, RICHARD D. ACKERMAN, do declare as follows:

- 1. That I am the member affected by the instant action and am familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case. Each of the factual averments or representations is of my own personal knowledge. Response is timely pursuant to Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, Rule 5.28 (20 days plus additional time under mail service of documents served 8/27/2014 by mail).
- 2. I have carefully reviewed the Motion by the California State Bar and am requesting an evidentiary hearing and/or oral argument in this matter so as to allow sufficient time for my treating physicians, counsel (as being sought), and witnesses to provide additional information to the Court so that the ends of justice can be met in this case. I am respectfully requesting that relief be afforded per the language of Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, Rule 5.312, in that the facts and circumstances of this case support careful review of the terms of probation and an effort to ameliorate the circumstances presented herein.
- 3. While I do sincerely understand the concerns of the State Bar, I do believe that there is sufficient reason and facts to demonstrate that my conduct is understandable given my life circumstances during the times referenced in the motion of the State Bar. I also do take this matter very seriously as my ability to support my four minor children is at stake depending on the outcome of these proceedings. There are significant

circumstances and facts which stand in mitigation of my conduct as alleged by the State Bar and as admitted to within this written response to the motion.

- 4. It is true that I have not complied with all requirements of the terms of my probation with the State Bar as indicated in the motion. I am deeply apologetic and remorseful for my failures and omissions and desire nothing more than to maintain my license so that I may serve my clients, provide for my family, and recover on a personal level from two years of extraordinary challenges in my personal life. I am willing to do whatever is necessary to rectify the situation and to work with colleagues, who have offered help, to ensure that my professional obligations are met as to the State Bar and the clients that I serve and will serve.
- 5. There are very compelling personal, practical and medical circumstances which have led to the current state of affairs and I implore the State Bar and the State Bar Court to give serious consideration and understanding to the circumstances as they are and have been. I outline those circumstances as follows:
- 6. Since January of 2012 (following two years of documented medical issues requiring multiple hospital stays, specialist treatments, and periods of disability), I have been embroiled in an emotionally difficult and time-consuming divorce proceeding with my former spouse while simultaneously recovering from devastating medical conditions that permeated my life and led up to this case. Frankly, I was devastated by the loss of my family life,

especially after my wife left me after I was discharged from the hospital following two years of suffering with an undiagnosed kidney stone that was lodged in my left ureter for the entire time (along with systemic infections and other complications resulting in hospitalizations). The pain and suffering associated with that was nearly unbearable and my former wife's decision to leave me right after was almost more than I could bear. It has been a long recovery practically, emotionally, and financially.

- 7. The family law case is just now starting to settle down and significant progress has been made in restoring my relationships with my children, rebuilding my professional/academic life, meeting basic needs, and resolving the practical issues that have made the matter contentious at times.
- 8. My life circumstances have been taxing on my financial situation, medical conditions, and emotional health. In an effort to avoid any problems with clients, I have significantly cut back my practice so that any issues could be dealt with. I am now just getting back to a place where I can rebuild a practice and enjoy the success and professional satisfaction I enjoyed before all of this took place. This took much effort during the turmoil that is described below. I believe that the factors in mitigation, as set forth in these proceedings, demonstrate that I have enjoyed much success as an attorney and have strived to be a leader in the legal community. The timeline and reality of the facts of this case clearly evidence a determinate set of facts that

explain or mitigate my conduct and actions since all of these issues surfaced. I hope that it will be noted that I fully cooperated with the State Bar at the inception of the matter and have made efforts to resolve issues as they have arisen since.

- 9. During the course of the underlying family law proceedings, several formal efforts were made by the opposing side to cause my license to be suspended and I was able to successfully maintain my license after presenting evidence to Commissioner Bradley Snell of the Riverside County Superior Court as to my living situation and the immense pressure I was facing. I am prepared to provide the State Bar Court with the underlying files in that matter and need sufficient time to prepare for any hearing in the instant matter.
- 10. In any event, it was determined, after a contested hearing, by the Riverside Superior Court that maintaining my license was critical to my ability to provide for my four minor children and a stay of further enforcement against my license was issued by the Court in Case No. SWD 1200223 (Hemet Family Law Division).
- If did demonstrate to the Court that I have made serious efforts to recover from both my physical and emotional limitations as precipitated by two years of medical issues (leading up to this case) and the practical circumstances that I have faced during the times referenced in the Motion by the State Bar.

- 12. If given sufficient opportunity, I do believe that I may even be able to get a declaration from my former wife's attorney attesting to the fact that strides have been made and that I am getting back 'to normal.' He and I have known each other since law school and have maintained a professional relationship during this difficult period in my life. We just spoke about these issues this morning in the attorney-room at the Hemet Courthouse this morning while he and I were preparing for hearings there. I did not have a chance to speak to Mr. Shaun Hanson about this specific issue, but he is aware of my situation and would likely testify in a positive way if this matter were to go to a full hearing on the merits.
- 13. In fact, because of the family law proceedings and my physical/emotional health, I was not able to work at optimum levels and was treated for clinical depression during the entire time referenced in the instant motion. I treated with Dr. Richard Rawson (Temecula) and Dr. Steven Galarza (Loma Linda University) during the times critical to this motion.
- As an offer of proof, I am confident that both physicians would testify that I was under an enormous amount of physical, emotional and financial pressure and worked very diligently on recovering from my medical conditions. If given the opportunity, I am willing to present the medical evidence supporting my contentions in this regard. I did endeavor to follow the advice of my medical providers at all times during this period of time

and understand the effect of waiving any confidentiality that I might otherwise possess.

- During the same times that are referenced in the instant motion, the family law Court had caused a full evaluation under Section 730 of the Evidence Code to be conducted as to myself and former spouse. The report of the evaluation demonstrates that I did continue to suffer from "moderate depression" that notably affected my daily life for a significant time in the last two years. The bottom line is that I was partially 'disabled' as defined by law during the times relevant to the instant proceedings. Significant improvement has occurred since the time of the report and continuing through the present time. The 730 report was provided to my treating physician, Dr. Steven Galarza.
- 16. With respect to meeting obligations under the terms of my probation, I have done my best, given the circumstances to comply, and have communicated with the Office of Probation. (See Declaration of Ms. Cruz attached to motion). The declaration of Ms. Farfan is substantially correct as to the matters of fact set forth therein. Any minor disagreement about certain facts would not affect the overall credibility of her position and, without ignoring the gravity of my personal circumstances; I honestly regret my inability to respond to certain concerns stated therein.
- 17. The most concerning factor, as to the terms of probation, was whether I could pay the costs associated with the initial proceedings and my State Bar dues. Only at the very last moment

- 18. Within the last year, I nearly became homeless because of the combination of issues that have interfered with my normal performance. Within the last year, I had to reestablish a home, acquire even basic transportation, and pay for the basic necessities of life. Through the help of friends, former clients, and my colleagues, much has been accomplished in terms of resolving these issues and reestablishing a firm foundation from which I can rebuild my career, if given the opportunity.
- 19. After the divorce, my income went from \$5,500.00-7,500.00 per month to less than \$1500.00 and this had a dramatic effect on meeting my basic needs. Within the last year, I have gotten a part-time job teaching at-risk youth with the publicly funded Youth Opportunity Centers located in Hemet and Lake Elsinore, California, and work for Smooth Transitions, Inc., a California nonprofit organization (<a href="www.smoothtransitioninc.com">www.smoothtransitioninc.com</a>). This job has allowed me to maintain a basic subsistence and has allowed for networking opportunities. However, the financial stress did

RICHARD D. ACKERMAN

PROBATION REVOCATION RESPONSE PER RULE OF PROCEDURE 5.314 - 10

| ·   | 1 00 040                                |  |
|---|---|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): 171900  | FOR COURT USE ONLY                      |  |
| Richard D. Ackerman   |   |  |
| 27638 Moonridge Dr.   |   |  |
| Menifee, CA 92585   |   |  |
| TELEPHONE NO.: 951-231-8841 FAX NO. (Optional):  E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): Consiglierea@aol.com  |   |  |
| ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Self-Represented   |   |  |
|   | _                                       |  |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: STATE BAR COURT   |   |  |
| MAILING ADDRESS: 845 South Figueroa St  |   |  |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90017-2515   |   |  |
| BRANCH NAME: Hearing Office - Los Angeles   |   |  |
| PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |
| DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: RICHARD D. ACKERMAN (171900)  | CASE NUMBER:                            |  |
| PROOF OF SERVICE—CIVIL  | S211542 - 12-O-13461                    |  |
| Check method of service (only one):   | NASSI -                                 |  |
| By Personal Service   | JUDGE: Mills                            |  |
| By Messenger Service By Fax By Electronic Service   | DEPT: Los Angeles Hearings              |  |
| (Do not use this proof of service to show service of a Summ   | ons and complaint.)                     |  |
| 1. At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action.  |   |  |
| 2. My residence or business address is:   |   |  |
| •   |   |  |
| 35023 Cedar Ridge Ct, Winchester, CA 92596  |   |  |
| 3 The fax number or electronic service address from which I served the documents electronic service):   | is (complete if service was by fax or   |  |
| 4. On (date): 9/16/2014 I served the following documents (specify):   |   |  |
| PROBATION REVOCATION RESPONSE (With Attached Declara  | ation of Richard D. Ackerman)           |  |
| PROBATION REVOCATION RESPONSE (Will Allached Decian   | alion of recorded Bry tokermany         |  |
|   |   |  |
|   | - " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " |  |
| The documents are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Service-Civil (Document  | s Served) (form POS-040(D)).            |  |
| 5. I served the documents on the <b>person or persons</b> below, as follows:  |   |  |
| a. Name of person served: Maricruz Farfan / Terrie Goldade / Office of Hearings (Hon. Judge Mills)  |   |  |
| b. (Complete if service was by personal service, mail, overnight delivery, or messenger service.)   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| Business or residential address where person was served: 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-2515  |   |  |
| 040 Godin Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, OA 300 17-20 10   |   |  |
| c. (Complete if service was by fax or electronic service.)  |   |  |
| (1) Fax number or electronic service address where person was served:   |   |  |
|   | ·                                       |  |
|   |   |  |
| (2) Time of service:  |   |  |
| The names, addresses, and other applicable information about persons served is<br>Service—Civil (Persons Served) (form POS-040(P)).   | s on the Attachment to Proof of         |  |
| 6. The documents were served by the following means (specify):  |   |  |
| By personal service. I personally delivered the documents to the persons at the addresses listed in item 5. (1) For a   |   |  |
| party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents, in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office, between the bours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) For a party, delivery was made |   |  |
| to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some person not younger than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.   |   |  |

|  | POS-04   |
|--|--|
| In Re Richard D. Ackerman (SBN171900)  | CASE NUMBER: \$211542 - 12-O-13461   |
| 6. b. By United States mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed en addresses in item 5 and (specify one):  | velope or package addressed to the persons at the  |
| (1) deposited the sealed envelope with the United States P   | ostal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.   |
| (2) placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following with this business's practice for collecting and processis correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with  | ng correspondence for mailing. On the same day that s deposited in the ordinary course of business with the  |
| I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occu<br>(city and state):  | urred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at   |
| c. By overnight delivery. I enclosed the documents in an envelop carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses in item 5. and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box   | I placed the envelope or package for collection  |
| d. By messenger service. I served the documents by placing then at the addresses listed in item 5 and providing them to a profession the messenger must accompany this Proof of Service or be contained.   | onal messenger service for service. (A declaration by  |
| e. By fax transmission. Based on an agreement of the parties to a to the persons at the fax numbers listed in item 5. No error was record of the fax transmission, which I printed out, is attached.   | accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the   |
| f. By electronic service. Based on a court order or an agreement documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service add   |  |
| I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that  | at the foregoing is true and correct.  |
| Date: 9/17/2014  |  |
| LILI BRAUNWALDER  (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)  | Lili Braunwalder (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)  |
| (If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate d  | leclaration from a messenger must be attached.)  |
|  |  |
| DECLARATION OF MES   | SENGER   |
| By personal service. I personally delivered the envelope or package addresses listed in item 5. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package, which was with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office, between the For a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the document than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six | delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's sclearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, hours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) its at the party's residence with some person not younger |
| At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age. I am not a party to t  | he above-referenced legal proceeding.  |

I served the envelope or package, as stated above, on (date):

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

(NAME OF DECLARANT)

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

Page 2 of 3