STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
JAYNE KIM, No. 174614
CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309
DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102
ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
BROOKE A. SCHAFER, No. 194824
SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL
LARA BAIRAMIAN, No. 253056
DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL
845 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, California 90017-2515
Telephone: (213) 765-1338

FILED

AUG 25 2015

STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

STATE BAR COURT

HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of: PETER ROBIN ESTES, No. 168867, A Member of the State Bar.	Case No. 15-O-10284, 15-O-10290, 15-O-10473, 15-O-10531, 15-O-10801 NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!

IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:

(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED;
(2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW;
(3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND;
(4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.

kwiktag® 197 146 470

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. Peter Robin Estes ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 13, 1993, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 15-O-10284
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B)
[Unauthorized Practice of Law in Other Jurisdiction]

2. From on or about February 24, 2014, through in or about May 2014, Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law in Massachusetts by accepting employment with Orminda Furtado in order to perform legal services in connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for a property located in Massachusetts when he was not licensed in that jurisdiction and to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in Massachusetts, namely Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b), in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

COUNT TWO

Case No. 15-O-10284
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-400(C)
[Solicitation of a Prospective Client]

3. On or about February 24, 2014, Respondent made a solicitation, or allowed one to be made on Respondent's behalf by agents of his law firm, "Estes Law," to Orminda Furtado, a prospective client, by communication delivered by telephone to a person whom Respondent or Estes Law had no family or prior professional relationship concerning Respondent's availability for professional employment with a significant motive of pecuniary gain, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-400(C).

COUNT THREE

Case No. 15-O-10284
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A)
[Illegal Fee]

4. Between on or about February 28, 2014, and on or about May 13, 2014, Respondent charged and collected from Orminda Furtado a fee of $3,000 that was illegal because Respondent was not entitled to practice law in Massachusetts, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

COUNT FOUR

Case No. 15-O-10284
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

5. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters of April 10, 2015, and April 24, 2015, which Respondent received, that requested Respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 15-O-10284, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

COUNT FIVE

Case No. 15-O-10290
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B)
[Unauthorized Practice of Law in Other Jurisdiction]

6. From in or about December 2013, through in or about April 2014, Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law in Missouri by accepting employment with Jerry Webb and Kathleen Murillo in order to perform legal services in connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for a property located in Missouri when he was not licensed in that jurisdiction and to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in Missouri, namely Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-5.5(b), in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

COUNT SIX

Case No. 15-O-10290
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-400(C)
[Solicitation of a Prospective Client]

7. In or about December 2013, Respondent made a solicitation, or allowed one to be made on Respondent's behalf by agents of his law firm, "Estes Law," to Jerry Webb and Kathleen Murillo, prospective clients, by communication delivered by telephone to a person whom Respondent or Estes Law had no family or prior professional relationship concerning Respondent's availability for professional employment with a significant motive of pecuniary gain, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-400(C).

COUNT SEVEN

Case No. 15-O-10290
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A)
[Illegal Fee]

8. Between on or about February 12, 2014, and on or about April 18, 2014, Respondent charged and collected from Jerry Webb and Kathleen Murillo a fee of $3,000 that was illegal because Respondent was not entitled to practice law in Missouri, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

COUNT EIGHT

Case No. 15-O-10290
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

9. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters of April 10, 2015, and April 24, 2015, which Respondent received, that requested Respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 15-O-10290, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

COUNT NINE

Case No. 15-O-10473
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B)
[Unauthorized Practice of Law in Other Jurisdiction]

10. From in or about February 2014, through in or about April 2014, Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law in Massachusetts and New Hampshire by accepting employment with Charles Metrakas in order to perform legal services in connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for properties located in Massachusetts and New Hampshire when he was not licensed in those jurisdictions and to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in Massachusetts and New Hampshire, namely Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b) and New Hampshire Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b), in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

COUNT TEN

Case No. 15-O-10473
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-400(C)
[Solicitation of a Prospective Client]

11. In or about February 2014, Respondent made a solicitation, or allowed one to be made on Respondent's behalf by agents of his law firm, "Estes Law," to Charles Metrakas, a prospective client, by communication delivered by telephone to a person whom Respondent or Estes Law had no family or prior professional relationship concerning Respondent's availability for professional employment with a significant motive of pecuniary gain, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-400(C).

COUNT ELEVEN

Case No. 15-O-10473
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A)
[Illegal Fee]

12. Between on or about March 5, 2014, and on or about April 8, 2014, Respondent charged and collected from Charles Metrakas a fee of $12,500 that was illegal because

Respondent was not entitled to practice law in Massachusetts and New Hampshire, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

COUNT TWELVE

Case No. 15-O-10473
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

13. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters of April 10, 2015, and April 24, 2015, which Respondent received, that requested Respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 15-O-10473, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

COUNT THIRTEEN

Case No. 15-O-10531
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B)
[Unauthorized Practice of Law in Other Jurisdiction]

14. From on or about December 27, 2013, through in or about February 2014, Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law in Massachusetts by accepting employment with Lawrence Williams and Sonia Williams in order to perform legal services in connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for a property located in Massachusetts when he was not licensed in that jurisdiction and to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in Massachusetts, namely Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b), in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

COUNT FOURTEEN

Case No. 15-O-10531
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-400(C)
[Solicitation of a Prospective Client]

15. On or about December 27, 2013, Respondent made a solicitation, or allowed one to be made on Respondent's behalf by agents of his law firm, "Estes Law," to Lawrence Williams

and Sonia Williams, prospective clients, by communication delivered by telephone to persons whom Respondent or Estes Law had no family or prior professional relationship concerning Respondent's availability for professional employment with a significant motive of pecuniary gain, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-400(C).

COUNT FIFTEEN

Case No. 15-O-10531
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A)
[Illegal Fee]

16. Between on or about January 8, 2014, and on or about February 10, 2014, Respondent charged and collected from Lawrence Williams and Sonia Williams a fee of $3,000 that was illegal because Respondent was not entitled to practice law in Massachusetts, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

COUNT SIXTEEN

Case No. 15-O-10531
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

17. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters of April 10, 2015, and April 24, 2015, which Respondent received, that requested Respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 15-O-10531, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

COUNT SEVENTEEN

Case No. 15-O-10801
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B)
[Unauthorized Practice of Law in Other Jurisdiction]

18. From in or about January 2014, through in or about April 2014, Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law in Georgia by accepting employment with Johnny Roten in order to perform legal services in connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for a property located in Georgia when he was not licensed in that jurisdiction and to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in

Georgia, namely Official Code of Georgia Annotated section 15-19-51 and Georgia Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(a), in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

COUNT EIGHTEEN

Case No. 15-O-10801
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-400(C)
[Solicitation of a Prospective Client]

19. In or about January 2014, Respondent made a solicitation, or allowed one to be made on Respondent's behalf by agents of his law firm, "Estes Law," to Johnny Roten, a prospective client, by communication delivered by telephone to a person whom Respondent or Estes Law had no family or prior professional relationship concerning Respondent's availability for professional employment with a significant motive of pecuniary gain, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-400(C).

COUNT NINETEEN

Case No. 15-O-10801
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A)
[Illegal Fee]

20. Between on or about February 10, 2014, and on or about April 14, 2014, Respondent charged and collected from Johnny Roten a fee of $3,000 that was illegal because Respondent was not entitled to practice law in Georgia, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

COUNT TWENTY

Case No. 15-O-10801
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

21. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters of April 10, 2015, and April 24, 2015, which Respondent received, that requested Respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 15-O-10801, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: August 25, 2015

By: _____
Lara Bairamian
Deputy Trial Counsel

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 15-O-10284; 15-O-10290; 15-O-10473; 15-O-10531; 15-O-10801

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 9414 7266 9904 2010 0713 10, at Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

Peter Robin Estes
3658 Barham Blvd. P221
Los Angeles, CA 90068

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: August 25, 2015

Signed: _____
Lupe Pacheco
Declarant