	• PUBLIC M	kwiktag* 183 823 117		
1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	FILED		
2	JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	APR 2 9 2015		
3	JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE		
4	MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	LOS ANGELES		
5	BROOKE A. SCHAFER, No. 194824 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL ASHOD MOORADIAN, No. 194283			
7	SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL ROSS VISELMAN, No. 204979 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL			
8	845 South Figueroa Street			
9	Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 Telephone: (213) 765-1004			
10				
11	STATE BAR COURT			
12	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES			
13				
14	In the Matter of:) Case Nos.: 15-O-10706; 15-O-10743;		
15	GEORGE AWAD SABA, No. 134316,	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES		
16				
17	A Member of the State Bar.			
18)		
19	<u>NOTICE - FAILU</u>	IRE TO RESPOND!		
20	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRI WITHIN 20 DAVS AFTED SERVIC	TTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE E, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT		
21	THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:	E, OK IF TOU FAIL TO ATTEAK AT		
22	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU			
23	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED T	D PRACTICE LAW;		
24	(3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION			
25	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET AS (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT	TÓ ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.		
26	OR VACATE YOUR DEFAUL	TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE T, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN WOULD DISPARMENT WITHOUT		
27	ORDER RECOMMENDING FURTHER HEARING OR PRO	CEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ.,		
28	KULES OF PROCEDURE OF T	HE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.		
	- 1 - NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES			
	1			

1	The State Bar of California alleges:		
2	JURISDICTION		
3	1. GEORGE AWAD SABA ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the		
4	State of California on June 14, 1988, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and		
5	is currently a member of the State Bar of California.		
6	COUNT ONE		
7 8	Case No. 15-O-10743 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(c) [Maintaining an Unjust Action]		
9	2. On or about January 7, 2013, Respondent failed to counsel or maintain such action,		
10	proceedings, or defenses only as appear to Respondent legal or just by filing a complaint on		
11	behalf of the plaintiffs in a case entitled, Bucur, et al. v. FedEX Ground Package System, Inc., et		
12	al., bearing Riverside County Superior Court case number RIC 13 00203, that he knew was		
13	frivolous or had no merit because all claims asserted against FedEx and its employee Mirza		
14	Shamim Ahmad and Chuck Wasarhelyi were barred as a matter of law by the prohibition		
15	against claim splitting or by the doctrines of judicial admission, judicial estoppel, res judicata or		
16	collateral estoppel or by the statute of limitations or were contractually time-barred or had no		
17	evidentiary support and was thereby in willful violation of Business and Professions Code,		
18	section 6068(c).		
19	<u>COUNT TWO</u>		
20 21	Case No. 15-O-10743 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(c) [Maintaining an Unjust Action]		
22	3. On or about April 1, 2014, Respondent failed to counsel or maintain such action,		
23	proceedings, or defenses only as appear to Respondent legal or just by filing a first amended		
24	complaint on behalf of the plaintiffs in a case entitled, Bucur, et al. v. Mirza Shamim Ahmad, et		
25	al., bearing San Bernardino County Superior Court case number CIV DS 14 00473, that he		
26	knew was frivolous or had no merit because all claims asserted against Mirza Shamim Ahmad		
27	were barred as a matter of law by the prohibition against claim splitting or by the doctrines of		
28	judicial admission, judicial estoppel, <i>res judicata</i> or collateral estoppel or by the statute of -2-		
	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES		

1	limitations or were contractually time-barred or had no evidentiary support and was thereby in		
2	willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(c).		
3	COUNT THREE		
4	Case No. 15-O-10706		
5	Business and Professions Code, section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]		
6	4. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do		
7	or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which Respondent		
8	ought in good faith to do or forbear by failing to comply with the court order, filed on or about		
9	September 18, 2013, to pay \$2,170 in monetary sanctions within 30 days of the order to		
10	defendant Dilip Vithlani in a case entitled VLB Associates, Inc., et al. v. Dilip Vithlani, et al.,		
11	bearing Riverside County Superior Court case number RIC 13 05031, in willful violation of		
12	Business and Professions Code, section 6103.		
13	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>		
14 15	Case No. 15-O-10706 Business and Professions Code, section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]		
16	5. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do		
17	or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which Respondent		
18	ought in good faith to do or forbear by failing to comply with the court order, filed on or about		
19	July 20, 2014, to pay \$2,120 in monetary sanctions within 20 days of the order to defendant		
20	Dilip Vithlani in a case entitled VLB Associates, Inc., et al. v. Dilip Vithlani, et al., bearing		
21	Riverside County Superior Court case number RIC 13 05031, in willful violation of Business		
22	and Professions Code, section 6103.		
23	<u>COUNT FIVE</u>		
24	Case No. 15-O-10743		
25	Business and Professions Code, section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]		
26	6. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do		
27	or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which Respondent		
28	ought in good faith to do or forbear by failing to comply with the court order, filed on or about		
	- 3 - NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES		

1	November 10, 2014, to pay \$16,648.75 in monetary sanctions to defendant Mirza Shamim
2	Ahmad within 30 days of the order in a case entitled Bucur, et al. v. Mirza Shamim Ahmad, et
3	al., bearing San Bernardino County Superior Court case number CIV DS 14 00473, in willful
4	violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6103.
5	<u>COUNT SIX</u>
6 7	Case No. 15-O-10743 Business and Professions Code section 6106 [Moral Turpitude]
8	7. On or about January 14, 2015 and on or about February 27, 2015, in a matter entitled
9	Bucur, et al. v. Mirza Shamim Ahmad, et al., bearing San Bernardino County Superior Court
10	case number CIV DS 14 00473, Respondent executed under penalty of perjury two declarations,
11	each attached to a set of Requests for Admission propounded and served on defendants Chuck
12	Wasarhelyi and Eva Kulczycki, respectively, and which attested in paragraph 3 of each
13	declaration that no previous requests for admission had been propounded upon Mr. Wasarhelyi
14	or upon Ms. Kulczycki. In truth and fact, on or about May 7, 2014, Respondent had
15	propounded and served 76 requests for admission upon Mr. Wasarhelyi and 66 requests for
16	admission upon Ms. Kulczycki, respectively. At the time Respondent executed the declarations,
17	Respondent knew or was grossly negligent in not knowing that the statements in paragraph 3 in
18	each attached declaration were false, thereby committing an act or acts involving moral
19	turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code,
20	section 6106.
21	NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!
22	YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR
23	COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO
24	THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN
25	INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.
26	RECOMMENDED DI THE COURT.
27	///
28	///
	- 4 - NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

1	<u>NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!</u>			
2				
3	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND			
4	AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.			
5	Respectfully submitted,			
6	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL			
7	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL			
8	\mathcal{A}			
9	DATED: April 29, 2015 By:			
10	ASHOD MOORADIAN Senior Trial Counsel			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	- 5 - NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES			

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 15-O-10706; 15-O-10743;

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017, declare that:

- on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 14 - in accordance with the practice of the Si - of Los Angeles.	

By U.S. Certified Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a))

in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.

By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d))

- I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').

By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f))

Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.

By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6)

Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)

(for certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as <u>certified mail, return receipt requested</u>, Article No.: 9414 7266 9904 2010 0719 76 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)

(for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, Tracking No.: addressed to: (see below)

Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:
George A. Saba	2514 Glenbush Circle Corona, CA 92882	Electronic Address	

via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: April 29, 2015

SIGNED:

Charles C. Bagai