

1 **CENTURY LAW GROUP LLP**  
Edward O. Lear, SBN 132699  
2 5200 West Century Boulevard, Suite 345  
Los Angeles, California 90045  
3 Telephone: (310) 642-6900  
4 Facsimile: (310) 642-6910

5 Attorneys for Respondent  
Jeffrey A. Lewiston  
6  
7

**FILED**

JAN 04 2016  
STATE BAR COURT  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
LOS ANGELES

8  
9 **STATE BAR COURT**  
**HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES**  
10

11 In the Matter of  
12 JEFFREY A. LEWISTON,  
No. 126827,  
13

) Case Nos.: 15-O-10933; 15-O-11088;  
) 15-O-11089; 15-O-11125; 15-O-11126; 15-O-  
) 11165; 15-O-11166

14 Members of the State Bar.  
15  
16

) **ANSWER TO THE NOTICE OF**  
) **DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**  
)  
17  
18  
19

20 TO: THE STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

21 Pursuant to Rule 5.41 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, Respondent  
22 Jeffrey A. Lewiston, by and through his attorney of record, Edward O. Lear, hereby submits the  
23 following in response to the Notice of Disciplinary Charges on file herein:

24 Respondent was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 12,  
25 1986, and at all relevant times herein, has been a member of the State Bar of California.

26 Under the provisions of Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, Respondent  
27 hereby generally denies each and every allegation of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges and the  
28

kwiktag® 197 148 882



1 whole thereof, and further denies that the Respondent has violated any Rule of Professional Conduct  
2 in any manner whatsoever.

3 In response to the specific allegations on information and belief set forth in the Notice of  
4 Disciplinary Charges on file herein, Respondent Jeffrey A. Lewiston asserts:

5 **JURISDICTION**

6 1. In response to Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges ("NDC"),  
7 Respondent admits said allegations.

8 **COUNT ONE**

9 Case No. 15-O-10933

10 2. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the NDC because they are  
11 conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection,  
12 Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the NDC.

13 **COUNT TWO**

14 Case No. 15-O-10933

15 3. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the NDC because they are  
16 conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection,  
17 Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the NDC.

18 **COUNT THREE**

19 Case No. 15-O-11088

20 4. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the NDC because they are  
21 conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection,  
22 Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the NDC.

23 **COUNT FOUR**

24 Case No. 15-O-11088

25 5. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the NDC because they are  
26 conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection,  
27 Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the NDC.

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COUNT FIVE**

Case No. 15-O-11089

6. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the NDC.

**COUNT SIX**

Case No. 15-O-11089

7. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the NDC.

**COUNT SEVEN**

Case No. 15-O-11125

8. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the NDC.

**COUNT EIGHT**

Case No. 15-O-11125

9. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the NDC.

**COUNT NINE**

Case No. 15-O-11126

10. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the NDC.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COUNT TEN**

Case No. 15-O-11126

11. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the NDC.

**COUNT ELEVEN**

Case No. 15-O-11165

12. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the NDC.

**COUNT TWELVE**

Case No. 15-O-11165

13. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 13 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the NDC.

**COUNT THIRTEEN**

Case No. 15-O-11166

14. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the NDC.

**COUNT FOURTEEN**

Case No. 15-O-11166

15. Respondent objects to the allegations of Paragraph 15 of the NDC because they are conclusory, compound, and intertwined with legal conclusions. Notwithstanding said objection, Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the NDC.

**DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY PERSONAL SERVICE**

Re: In the Matter of Jeffrey A. Lewiston

No.: 15-O-10933; 15-O-11088; 15-O-11089; 15-O-11125; 15-O-11126; 15-O-1116;  
15-O-11166

I, Kathy Ferrera, declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 5200 W. Century Blvd., Suite 345, Los Angeles, California 90045, in the County of Los Angeles.


On January 4, 2016 I caused to be personally served, the attached:

**ANSWER TO THE NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**

On:

Ashod Mooradian Deputy Trial Counsel 845 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017	
--	--

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and this declaration was executed at Los Angeles, California, on January 4, 2016.

  
Kathy Ferrera