

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department San Francisco ACTUAL SUSPENSION		
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number(s): 15-O-11281-LMA	For Court use only
Robert A. Henderson Supervising Senior Trial Counsel	15-0-11398	PUBLIC MATTER
180 Howard St. San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2385		FILED
Bar # 173205		MAR 0 3 2016
Counsel For Respondent		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
Scott A. Galati DayZen LLC 2501 Capitol Ave., Suite 201 Sacramento, CA 95816 (916) 441-6574		SAN FRANCISCO
	Submitted to: Settlement Judge	
Bar # 170111	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING	
In the Matter of: CARY LEE PETERSEN	ACTUAL SUSPENSION	
Bar # 173406	PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 12, 1994.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 15 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."



- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):

Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.

Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles following the effective date of the discipline. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline**
 - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) C Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.
- (3) Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.
- (4) Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.
- (5) Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.
- (6) Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.

- (7) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (8) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (9) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (10) Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
- (11) Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. See Attachment to Stipulation at p. 13.
- (12) Dettern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (13) 🛛 Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution. See Attachment to Stipulation at p. 13.
- (14) Ulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
- (15) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the

product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.

- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Pre-trial Stipulation - See Attachment to Stipulation at p. 13.

No Prior Record of Disicpline - See Attachment to Stipulation at p. 13.

D. Discipline:

- (1) Stayed Suspension:
 - (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
 - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. and until Respondent does the following:
 - (b) X The above-referenced suspension is stayed.
- (2) \boxtimes **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **three years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

- (3) 🛛 Actual Suspension:
 - (a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **90-days**.
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct

- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.
 and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) X Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason:

(9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.

(10) X The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

- Substance Abuse Conditions

 Law Office Management Conditions
- Medical Conditions Sector Financial Conditions

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

(1) Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2) Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) Other Conditions:

In the Matter of: CARY LEE PETERSEN	Case Number(s): 15-O-11281-LMA 15-O-11398

Financial Conditions

- a. Restitution
 - Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From
Rachael Bortolamedi	\$950	December 24, 2014
Chelsea Lemos-Sticlaru	\$1,500	October 24, 2014

Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than

b. Installment Restitution Payments

Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reproval), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency
Rachael Bortolamedi	\$50	Monthly
Chelsea Lemos-Sticlaru	\$100	Monthly

If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

c. Client Funds Certificate

- 1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
 - a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

ij.

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies;
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

- 1	In the Matter of: CARY LEE PETERSEN	Case Number(s): 15-O-11281-LMA 15-O-11398

Substance Abuse Conditions

- a. It Respondent must abstain from use of any alcoholic beverages, and shall not use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs, controlled substances, marijuana, or associated paraphernalia, except with a valid prescription.
- b. 🛛 Respondent must attend at least four meetings per month of:
 - Alcoholics Anonymous
 - Narcotics Anonymous
 - The Other Bar
 - Other program

As a separate reporting requirement, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance during each month, on or before the tenth (10th) day of the following month, during the condition or probation period.

- c. It Respondent must select a license medical laboratory approved by the Office of Probation. Respondent must furnish to the laboratory blood and/or urine samples as may be required to show that Respondent has abstained from alcohol and/or drugs. The samples must be furnished to the laboratory in such a manner as may be specified by the laboratory to ensure specimen integrity. Respondent must cause the laboratory to provide to the Office of Probation, at the Respondent's expense, a screening report on or before the tenth day of each month of the condition or probation period, containing an analysis of Respondent's blood and/or urine obtained not more than ten (10) days previously.
- d. X Respondent must maintain with the Office of Probation a current address and a current telephone number at which Respondent can be reached. Respondent must return any call from the Office of Probation concerning testing of Respondent's blood or urine within twelve (12) hours. For good cause, the Office of Probation may require Respondent to deliver Respondent's urine and/or blood sample(s) for additional reports to the laboratory described above no later than six hours after actual notice to Respondent that the Office of Probation requires an additional screening report.
- e. If Upon the request of the Office of Probation, Respondent must provide the Office of Probation with medical waivers and access to all of Respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Office of Probation are confidential and no information concerning them or their contents will be given to anyone except members of the Office of Probation, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, and the State Bar Court who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.

Other:

Page 9

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: CARY LEE PETERSEN

CASE NUMBERS: 15-O-11281-LMA [15-O-11398]

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 15-O-11281 (Complainant: Rachael Bortolamedi)

FACTS:

1. In 2014, respondent suffered some memory loss and sought medical attention. He was diagnosed with "trans global amnesia" which was triggered by high blood pressure. He was also suffering from depression. Respondent was taking antidepressant medications and self-medicating with alcohol. By October 2014, respondent's medical and alcohol issues were acute and prevented him from providing legal services.

2. On December 24, 2014, Rachael Bortolamedi ("Bortolamedi") hired respondent to represent her in dissolution of marriage. At this time Bortolamedi paid respondent \$950 in advance fees.

3. Between December 24, 2014 and January 20, 2015, Bortolamedi made multiple attempts to communicate with respondent, regarding the dissolution, by text and voicemail. Respondent received these messages, but did not respond in any way.

4. As of January 20, 2015, respondent had abandoned Bortolamedi's matter. Respondent did not provide Bortolamedi any notice that he had acute medical and alcohol abuse issues, which prevented him from performing legal services. As of January 20, 2015, respondent had effectively abandoned Bortolamedi's matter.

5. In February 2015, respondent closed his practice at 312 Natoma Street, Suite 11, Folsom California. Respondent did not notify Bortolamedi that he had closed his practice, nor did he provide her with new contact information.

6. In October 2015, Bortolamedi demanded a full refund of the fees paid, which was sent to the last known address for respondent.

7. Respondent did not provide any legal service to Bortolamedi and has earned none of the advanced fees.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

8. By failing to file a petition for dissolution of marriage on behalf of Bortolamedi and by failing to take any legal action whatsoever on behalf of Bortolamedi, respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

9. By constructively terminating respondent's employment on January 20, 2015 without notifying Bortolamedi, and by vacating his law office in February 2015 without providing Bortolamedi new contact information, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2).

10. By failing to refund the \$950 to Bortolamedi, respondent failed to refund promptly, upon respondent's termination of employment the unearned fees, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2)

11. By failing to inform Bortolamedi that he had serious issues which precluded his working on the dissolution of marriage, that he had not filed the dissolution of marriage and that he had moved his office, respondent willfully failed to inform his client of significant developments in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).

Case No. 15-O-11398 (Complainant: Chelsea Lemos-Sticlaru)

FACTS:

12. In 2014, respondent suffered some memory loss and sought medical attention. He was diagnosed with "trans global amnesia" which was triggered by high blood pressure. He was also suffering from depression. Respondent was taking antidepressant medications and self-medicating with alcohol. By October 2014, respondent's medical and alcohol issues were acute and prevented him from providing legal services.

13. On October 24, 2014, Chelsea Lemos-Sticlaru ("Lemos-Sticlaru") hired respondent to represent her in a limited scope family law issue and paid respondent \$1,500 in advance fees. Specifically, respondent was to file child custody and visitation documents with the court in *In the matter of Sticlaru*, Siskiyou County Superior Court case no. SC CV FL 11-0000139. Lemos-Sticlaru provided respondent with her family law file, up to that date, for use in the child custody and visitation issue.

14. Between October 24, 2014 and January 9, 2015, Lemos-Sticlaru made multiple attempts to communicate with respondent, regarding the dissolution, by text and voicemail. Respondent received these messages, but did not respond in any way.

15. From October 24, 2014 to January 9, 2015, respondent failed to take any action on the family law matter.

16. On January 9, 2015, Lemos-Sticlaru sent a text and also wrote a letter to respondent, terminating respondent's services and requesting her file and a full refund. Respondent received these messages, but did not reply.

17. As of January 9, 2015, respondent had abandoned Lemos-Sticlaru's matter. Respondent did not provide Lemos-Sticlaru any notice that he had acute medical and alcohol abuse issues, which prevented him from performing legal services. As of January 9, 2015, respondent had effectively abandoned Lemos-Sticlaru's matter.

18. On January 19, 2015, Lemos-Sticlaru sent a 2^{nd} letter to respondent requesting her file and a full refund. Respondent received this letter, but did not reply.

19. In February 2015, respondent closed his practice at 312 Natoma Street, Suite 11, Folsom California. Respondent did not notify Lemos-Sticlaru that he had closed his practice, nor did he provide her with new contact information.

20. On April 23, 2015, respondent admitted that Lemos-Sticlaru was owed a full refund. To date no refund of the advance fees has been made.

21. The file was returned to Lemos-Sticlaru sometime after May 6, 2015.

22. Respondent did not provide any legal service to Lemos-Sticlaru and has earned none of the advanced fees.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

23. By failing to file child custody and visitation documents with the court in *In the matter of Sticlaru*, Siskiyou County Superior Court case no. SC CV FL 11-0000139 and by failing to take any legal action whatsoever on behalf of Lemos-Sticlaru, respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

24. By constructively terminating respondent's employment on January 9, 2015 without notifying Lemos-Sticlaru, and by vacating his law office in February 2015 without providing Lemos-Sticlaru new contact information, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2).

25. By failing to refund the 1,500 to Lemos-Sticlaru, respondent failed to refund promptly, upon respondent's termination of employment the unearned fees, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

26. By failing to inform Lemos-Sticlaru that he had serious issues which precluded his working on the dissolution of marriage, that he had not filed the child custody and visitation documents and that he had moved his office, respondent willfully failed to inform his client of significant developments in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).

27. By failing to return the client file to Lemos-Sticlaru until sometime after May 6, 2015, respondent failed to promptly return a client file after termination of employment in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

÷

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): Respondent's nine violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct and State Bar Act represent multiple acts of misconduct.

Failure to Make Restitution (Std. 1.5(i)): Respondent's failure to refund the unearned fees is an aggravating factor.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline: Respondent was admitted to practice in December 1994, and has no prior record of discipline. Respondent is entitled to mitigation credit for no prior discipline, even where the underlying conduct is found to be serious or significant. (In the Matter of Stamper (Review Dept. 1990) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 96, 106, fn.13; In the Matter of Riordan (Review Dept. 2007) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41, 49.)

Pretrial Stipulation: Respondent has entered into a full stipulation. Respondent is entitled to mitigation for cooperating with the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel prior to trial, thereby saving the State Bar Court time and resources. In addition, by entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged his misconduct and accepted responsibility for his actions. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, respondent has committed multiple acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed."

The most severe sanction, applicable to respondent's violation(s) of 3-110(A) and 3-700, is found in Standard 2.7, which states:

(b) Actual suspension is the presumed sanction for performance, communication, or withdrawal violations in multiple client matters, not demonstrating habitual disregard of client interests.

Therefore an actual suspension is suggested.

Case law supports an actual suspension. In *Harris v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 1082, the Supreme Court suspended an attorney for 90 days for abandoning a single client. The Court noted that: "In cases involving the failure to perform services diligently, we have not hesitated to impose an actual suspension even greater than that recommended in this case." (*Layton v. State Bar, supra*, 50 Cal.3d at p. 904, and cases cited therein.)" (*Harris v. State Bar, supra* 51 Cal.3d 1082, at p. 1088.)

In the current matter, respondent has abandoned two clients has the aggravating factors of failure to pay restitution and multiple acts and is currently entitled to minimal mitigation for having no prior record of discipline and entering into a pretrial stipulation. On balance the need to protect respondent's clients requires a 90 day actual suspension.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of February 19, 2016, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$4,572. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of: State Bar Ethics School, State Bar Client Trust Accounting School, and/or any other educational course(s) to be ordered as a condition of reproval or suspension. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of: CARY LEE PETERSEN	Case number(s): 15-O-11281-LMA 15-O-11398	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this <u>Stipulation</u>-Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

Cary L. Petersen Print Name Respondent's Signature Dat \mathcal{Z} Scott A. Galati 016 Print Name Respondent's Counsel Signature Dat Robert A. Henderson Print Name Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

In the Matter of:	Case Number(s):
CARY LEE PETERSEN	15-O-11281-LMA
	(15-O-11398)

ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

1. On page one of the Stipulation, in the lower right box, "Submitted to: Settlement Judge" is deleted and in its place is inserted "Submitted to: Assigned Judge."

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

rch 3, 2016

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on March 3, 2016, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

SCOTT A. GALATI DAYZEN LLC 2501 CAPITOL AVE STE 201 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROBERT A. HENDERSON, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on March 3, 2016.

Bernadette Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court