(Do not write above this line.)

	Bar Court of Califorr Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION	nia
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number(s): 15-O-11329	For Court use only
Shane C. Morrison Deputy Trial Counsel		
845 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1000	PU	BLIC MATTER
Bar # <b>284115</b>		FILED
In Pro Per Respondent		SEP. 28 2015
Bobak Roshan 1642 Berkeley St Apt 3 Santa Monica, CA 90404 (310) 709-1144		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
	Submitted to: Assigned Jud	ge
Bar # <b>259987</b>		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
In the Matter of: BOBAK ROSHAN	DISPOSITION AND ORDER	APPROVING
	ACTUAL SUSPENSION	
Bar # <b>259987</b>	☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATIO	N REJECTED
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 1, 2008**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **10** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

kwiktag • 197 145 813

(Do	not wri	te above this line.)
(6)		e parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading upporting Authority."
(7)		more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any nding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
(8)		yment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.  Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles immediately following the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.
i	Visc	ravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional conduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are ired.
(1)	□ (a)	Prior record of discipline  State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
(2)		<b>Dishonesty:</b> Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)		<b>Indifference:</b> Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		<b>Lack of Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

(Do :	not wri	te above this line.)
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(9)	$\boxtimes$	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	lition	al aggravating circumstances:
	_	pating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		<b>Family Problems:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.

purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar

		e this line.)		
	and conceptod profiles July whe conceptod current subr	schedule a meeting with Respondent's assistations of probation. Upon the direction of the pation deputy either in-person or by telephoneptly meet with the probation deputy as directly pondent must submit written quarterly reported, and October 10 of the period of probations are probated with the Statistions of probation during the preceding calcany proceedings pending against him or he ent status of that proceeding. If the first reported on the next quarter date, and cover the	signed he Officence. Duected a corts to the corts to the cort wo the extended and the cort wo the extended and the extended a	ce of Probation, Respondent must meet with the uring the period of probation, Respondent must and upon request. The Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, ander penalty of perjury, Respondent must state Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all quarter. Respondent must also state whether there is State Bar Court and if so, the case number and uld cover less than 30 days, that report must be ended period.
	In ac	ddition to all quarterly reports, a final report ity (20) days before the last day of the peri	, conta od of p	nining the same information, is due no earlier than probation and no later than the last day of probation.
	cond Durii in ad	litions of probation with the probation moni ng the period of probation, Respondent mu Idition to the quarterly reports required to b	tor to e ist furn	establish a manner and schedule of compliance. ish to the monitor such reports as may be requested,
	inqui direc	iries of the Office of Probation and any proleted to Respondent personally or in writing	bation	monitor assigned under these conditions which are
	Prob	ation satisfactory proof of attendance at a	discipli sessio	ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given
		No Ethics School recommended. Reason	n:	•
	must	so declare under penalty of perjury in conj	probat junctio	ion imposed in the underlying criminal matter and n with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office
	The	following conditions are attached hereto an	nd inco	rporated:
		Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
		Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions
ther	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Parties	<b>s:</b>	
	the Cor one furt (E),	Multistate Professional Responsibility Examplerence of Bar Examiners, to the Office of eyear, whichever period is longer. Failure ther hearing until passage. But see rule Rules of Procedure.	mination Proba <b>to pas</b>	on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within the the MPRE results in actual suspension without
	-	and condeprote profit   Ress July where condeprote   Subject   Sub	and schedule a meeting with Respondent's as conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the probation deputy either in-person or by telephoromptly meet with the probation deputy as direction of the Respondent must submit written quarterly reported. July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation whether Respondent has complied with the State conditions of probation during the preceding care any proceedings pending against him or he current status of that proceeding. If the first repsubmitted on the next quarter date, and cover the last day of the period of twenty (20) days before the last day of the period conditions of probation with the probation monitor on ditions of probation with the probation monitor buring the period of probation, Respondent must addition to the quarterly reports required to be cooperate fully with the probation monitor.  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Resinquiries of the Office of Probation and any prodirected to Respondent personally or in writing complied with the probation conditions.  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the office of the effective date of the office of the effective date of the effection satisfactory proof of attendance at a at the end of that session.  Respondent must comply with all conditions of must so declare under penalty of perjury in conditions.  Respondent must comply with all conditions of must so declare under penalty of perjury in conditions.  Medical Conditions  ther Conditions Negotiated by the Parties.  Multistate Professional Responsibility Exal the Multistate Professional Responsibility Exal Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of one year, whichever period is longer. Failure	and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Offi probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. Do promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed a Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to duly 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Upon the ther Respondent has complied with the State Bar conditions of probation during the preceding calendar are any proceedings pending against him or her in the current status of that proceeding. If the first report wo submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the ext In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, contat twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of pouring the period of probation with the probation monitor. Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor to be During the period of probation, Respondent must furn in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submodered to the quarterly reports required to be submodered to a sesertion of applicable privileges, Respondinquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating complied with the probation conditions.  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipling Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session at the end of that session.  No Ethics School recommended. Reason:  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probations satisfactory proof of attendance at a session at the end of that session.  Medical Conditions  Medical Conditions Probation and any

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		<b>Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:</b> Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)		Other Conditions:

## **ATTACHMENT TO**

## STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

**BOBAK ROSHAN** 

CASE NUMBER:

15-O-11329

### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of the violation of the specified statute.

## Case No. 15-O-11329 (State Bar Investigation)

#### **FACTS:**

- 1. As a member of the State Bar of California, respondent was required to complete 25 hours of minimum continuing legal education ("MCLE") during the period commencing on February 1, 2011, and ending on January 31, 2014 ("compliance period").
- 2. On May 5, 2014, respondent reported to the State Bar under penalty of perjury that he was in compliance with the MCLE requirements and, in particular, that he had completed 25 hours of MCLE during the compliance period.
- 3. In fact, respondent had not completed any of the required MCLE hours during the compliance period.
- 4. When respondent reported to the State Bar that he was in compliance with the MCLE requirements, respondent knew that he was not in compliance with the MCLE requirements.
- 5. After being notified by the State Bar of California in July 2014 that he had been selected for an audit of his MCLE compliance, respondent submitted evidence of having completed 25 hours of MCLE after the compliance period.

### **CONCLUSION OF LAW:**

6. By reporting under penalty of perjury to the State Bar that he was in compliance with the MCLE requirements when he knew that he was not in compliance with the MCLE requirements, respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude or dishonesty in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.

### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

None.

111

#### MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Pre-Filing Stipulation**: Respondent is entitled to mitigating credit for entering into this stipulation as to facts and conclusions of law, thereby obviating the need for trial and saving State Bar resources. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigating credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the Standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the Standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

The Standard most applicable to respondent's misconduct is Standard 2.7, which provides "[d]isbarment or actual suspension is appropriate for an act of moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, corruption or concealment of a material fact. The degree of sanction depends on the magnitude of the misconduct and the extent to which the misconduct harmed or misled the victim and related to the member's practice of law."

Respondent's misrepresentation to the State Bar, made under penalty of perjury, was a dishonest act directly related to the practice of law. Misrepresentations are compounded when made in writing under penalty of perjury, which includes an imprimatur of veracity which should place a reasonable person on notice to take care that their statement is accurate, complete, and true. (*In the Matter of Maloney and Virsik* (Review Dept. 2005) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 774, 786.) Respondent's misconduct pertaining to the MCLE requirements circumvented the continuing legal educational requirements established for the purpose of enhancing attorney competence and protecting the public.

However, respondent's misconduct herein was an isolated act, and he cooperated with the State Bar in entering into this stipulation fully resolving the matter prior to the filing of disciplinary charges, thereby saving the State Bar time and resources and demonstrating respondent's acknowledgment and acceptance of responsibility for his misconduct. Additionally, respondent completed the required 25 hours of MCLE after he was contacted about the MCLE audit. While this fact is not mitigating, it demonstrates respondent's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. Accordingly, discipline at the lower end of the range provided for under Standard 2.7 is appropriate.

Thus, discipline consisting of one year of stayed suspension and a one year period of probation with conditions including 60 days of actual suspension is appropriate to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession; to maintain high professional standards by attorneys; and to preserve public confidence in the legal profession.

The stipulated level of discipline is also consistent with case law. In *In the Matter of Yee* (Review Dept. 2014) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 330, Yee affirmed her MCLE compliance when she had not taken any courses during the relevant reporting period. Yee mistakenly recalled that she had completed the courses and did not check or maintain any records to confirm if her recollection was accurate. The Review Department found that Yee's failure to verify her MCLE compliance before affirming it constituted gross negligence amounting to moral turpitude. The Review Department found no aggravation, but compelling mitigation: no prior record of discipline, candor and cooperation, good character, remorse and recognition of wrongdoing, pro bono work and community service, and no harm to the public or the judicial system. Due to the compelling mitigation, the lack of aggravating circumstances, and Yee's genuine recognition of wrongdoing, the Review Department ordered a public reproval.

Similar to the attorney in Yee, respondent reported to the State Bar that he had complied with the MCLE requirements when he had not actually complied, which constitutes an act of moral turpitude. However, unlike the attorney in Yee, respondent's actions were not the result of gross negligence and there are no compelling mitigating circumstances that warrant a deviation from the level of discipline provided for under Standard 2.7. Accordingly, a sixty-day actual suspension is appropriate in the present matter.

### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of August 27, 2015, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$3,066. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

### **EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT**

Pursuant to rule 3201, respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of Ethics School ordered as a condition of discipline. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)			-	
In the Matter of: BOBAK ROSHAN		Case number(s): 15-O-11329		
De their simulations halour		URE OF THE P		
recitations and each of the	the parties and their co	unsel, as applicable of this Stipulation Re	e, signify e Facts, (	their agreement with each of the Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.
9/19/15	- 4 w		1	Bobak Roshan
Date '	Respondent's Signatu	re	F	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counse	Signature	F	Print Name
9/1b/15 Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's	Signature		Shane C. Morrison
D410	- opacy that counsels	- Oignature	<i>)</i> t	Print Name

Print Name

In the Mat BOBAK	ter of: ROSHAN		Case Number(s): 15-O-11329
	ACTU	AL SUSPEI	NSION ORDER
	stipulation to be fair to the parties a ismissal of counts/charges, if any, i		quately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the without prejudice, and:
X	The stipulated facts and dispositi Supreme Court.	on are APPR	OVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and dispositi	on are APPR0 D to the Supre	OVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the me Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.		
he parties a	are bound by the stipulation as app	roved unless:	1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed
vithin 15 da <u>y</u> tipulation. (	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	nted; or 2) this ocedure.) <b>The</b>	1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date r file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
rithin 15 day tipulation. ( <b>f the Supr</b> e	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	ated; or 2) this ocedure.) The 30 days afte	court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date of file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
vithin 15 da tipulation. ( f the Supro court.)	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	ated; or 2) this ocedure.) The 30 days afte	court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date if file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of the State Bar Court
vithin 15 da tipulation. ( f the Supro court.)	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	ated; or 2) this ocedure.) The 30 days afte	court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date of file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
vithin 15 da tipulation. ( f the Supro court.)	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	ated; or 2) this ocedure.) The 30 days afte	court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date if file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of the State Bar Court
vithin 15 da tipulation. ( f the Supro court.)	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	ated; or 2) this ocedure.) The 30 days afte	court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date if file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of the State Bar Court
vithin 15 da tipulation. ( f the Supro court.)	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	ated; or 2) this ocedure.) The 30 days afte	court modifies or further modifies the approved effective date of this disposition is the effective date if file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of the State Bar Court

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 28, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

BOBAK ROSHAN 1642 BERKELEY ST APT 3 SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SHANE MORRISON, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on September 28, 2015.

Rose M. Luthi Case Administrator State Bar Court

so M. Lathi