(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** ACTUAL SUSPENSION PUBLIC MATTER Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 15-0-11985 Ann J. Kim **Deputy Trial Counsel** 845 S. Figueroa Street FILED Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1230 SEP 2 2 2015 P.B. Bar # 259222 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Counsel For Respondent LOS ANGELES Travis Aaron Corder **Corder Law Office** 11355 W Olympic Blvd Ste 100 Los Angeles, CA 90064 (310) 775-5762 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 237575 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: **RAYNARD SHELBY PACE ACTUAL SUSPENSION** ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 188901

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 3, 1997.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."



(Respondent)

(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)		
(5)		Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".		
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."			
(7)		No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8)		lyment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):		
		relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.		
		ired.		
(1)	□ (a)	Prior record of discipline ☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case		
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective		
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline		
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.		
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.		
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.		
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.		
(6)		Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.		

(Do n	ot wri	te above this line.)		
(7)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.		
(8)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.		
(9)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.		
(10)		Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.		
(11)		Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing.		
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.		
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.		
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.		
(15)	No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
		ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.		
(1)	\boxtimes	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur. (See stipulation, at page 7.)		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.		
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.		
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.		
5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.		
8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the		

(Do n	ot wri	te abo	ve this lir	ne.)			
				any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties ies no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.			
(9)		whi	Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stres which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.				
(10)				oblems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her fe which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)			Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.				
(12)			Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.				
(13)		No	mitigat	ting circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al mit	tigatin	g circumstances:			
	P	refili	ng or F	Pretrial Stipulation: see stipulation, at page 8.			
D. D	D. Discipline:						
(1)	\boxtimes	Stay	yed Su	spension:			
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	ondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.			
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.			
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:			
	(b)	\boxtimes	The a	bove-referenced suspension is stayed.			
(2) 🛮 Probation:		oation:					
		espondent must be placed on probation for a period of one (1) year , which will commence upon the effective te of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)					
(3) 🛮 Actual Suspension:		pension:					
	(a)	\boxtimes		ondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period ty (30) days.			
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct			
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			

(Do no	ot write	above	this line.)				
(10)	10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:						
(10)	ب		onowing conditions are attached hereto ar	ia irico			
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions		
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions		
F. O	F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:						
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.					
			lo MPRE recommended. Reason:				
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.					
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.					
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:			cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the ated period of actual suspension. Date of		
(5)		Othe	er Conditions:				

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

RAYNARD SHELBY PACE

CASE NUMBER:

15-O-11985

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of the violation of the specified statute.

Case No. 15-O-11985 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. As a member of the State Bar, respondent was required to complete 25 hours of minimum continuing legal education ("MCLE") during the period commencing on February 1, 2011, and ending on January 31, 2014 (the "compliance period").
- 2. On January 31, 2014, respondent reported under penalty of perjury to the State Bar that he was in compliance with the MCLE requirements, and, in particular, that he had completed 25 hours of MCLE during the compliance period.
 - 3. In fact, respondent had completed two hours of MCLE within the compliance period.
- 4. When respondent reported to the State Bar that he was in compliance with the MCLE requirements, respondent knew that he was not in compliance with the MCLE requirements.
- 5. Subsequently, respondent took MCLE courses necessary to bring himself into compliance after being contacted by the Office of Member Records and Compliance regarding an MCLE audit. Respondent timely complied with the audit.

CONCLUSION OF LAW:

6. By reporting to the State Bar that he was in compliance with the MCLE requirements when he knew that he was not in compliance with the MCLE requirements, respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline: Respondent has been an attorney since 1997 and has no record of discipline. Respondent's almost 17 years in practice with no prior discipline at the time of the misconduct is entitled to significant mitigation. (*In the Matter of Riordan* (Review Dept. 2007) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41, 49; see *Friedman v. State Bar* (1990) 50 Cal.3d 235, 242.)

Prefiling Stipulation: At an early stage, respondent has voluntarily entered into this stipulation to resolve the matter before the filing of disciplinary charges and should receive mitigative credit for his admission of culpability and consent to the imposition of discipline, thus saving limited State Bar resources and acknowledging and accepting responsibility for his misconduct. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the Standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the Standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Standard 2.11 is applicable to respondent's misconduct and provides that "[d]isbarment or actual suspension is the presumed sanction for an act of moral turpitude, dishonesty" and that the "degree of sanction depends on the magnitude of the misconduct; the extent to which the misconduct harmed or misled the victim, which may include the adjudicator; the impact on the administration of justice, if any; and the extent to which the misconduct related to the member's practice of law."

Whether made through gross negligence or intentional dishonesty, respondent's false statement regarding his MCLE compliance is serious and constitutes an act of dishonesty directly relating to the practice of law.

Pursuant to Standard 2.11, an actual suspension is appropriate in this matter. Although respondent made a false statement regarding his MCLE compliance, respondent's misconduct did not harm or mislead a victim and did not harm the administration of justice. Respondent has 17 years in practice with no prior record of discipline and recognizes his wrongdoing. Due to the mitigating circumstances present and the lack of aggravating circumstances, a discipline at the lower end of the range suggested by Standard 2.11

is appropriate. Thus, a one (1) year stayed suspension and one (1) year probation with conditions including a thirty (30) day actual suspension will serve to protect the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintain the highest professional standards; and preserve public confidence in the legal profession.

In *In the Matter of Yee* (Review Dept. 2014) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 330, Yee affirmed her MCLE compliance when she had not taken any courses during the relevant reporting period. Yee mistakenly recalled that she had completed the courses and did not check or maintain any records to confirm if her recollection was accurate. The Review Department found that Yee's failure to verify records before submitting a statement of compliance amounted to moral turpitude based on gross negligence. The Review Department found no aggravation, but did find compelling mitigation consisting of no prior record of discipline, candor/cooperation, good character, remorse/recognition of wrongdoing, pro bono work and community service, and no harm to the public or the judicial system. Due to the compelling mitigation, the lack of aggravating circumstances, and Yee's genuine recognition of wrongdoing, the Review Department recommended discipline consisting of a public reproval.

Similar to Yee, respondent submitted a false statement regarding his MCLE compliance. Also like Yee, there is a lack of aggravating circumstances and the mitigating circumstance of no prior record of discipline. However, unlike Yee, respondent does not have the compelling mitigating circumstances of good faith, pro bono work, remorse/recognition and community service. Accordingly, a one (1) year stayed suspension and a one (1) year probation with conditions, including a thirty (30) day actual suspension, is appropriate in this case.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of August 20, 2015, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,066. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of Ethics School or MCLE hours completed in lieu of Ethics School ordered as a condition of discipline. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

August 27, 2015	tand I ou	RAYNARD SHELBY PACE
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
		TRAVIS A. CORDER
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
9/8/2015		ANN J. KIM
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

In the Matter of: RAYNARD SHELBY PACE	Case number(s): 15-0-11985	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

		RAYNARD SHELBY PACE
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
8-27-2015	()//	TRAVIS A. CORDER
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
		ANN J. KIM
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

In the Matt RAYNAR	ter of: RD SHELBY PACE	Case Number(s): 15-O-11985	
	ACTUAL SUS	PENSION ORDER	
Finding the s	stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it a ismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANT	adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the ED without prejudice, and:	
	The stipulated facts and disposition are AP Supreme Court.	PROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the	
\boxtimes	The stipulated facts and disposition are AP DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the St	PROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the upreme Court.	
	All Hearing dates are vacated.		
	place is inserted "11 pages". 2. On page 8 of the Stipulation, under the	graph A.(3), line 3, "10 pages" is deleted, and in its me heading "Authorities Supporting Discipline," eted, and in its place is inserted "almost 17 years".	
		ss: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed	

of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

September 22, 2015

W. KEARSE MCGILL Judge of the State Bar Court

(Effective July 1, 2015)

Actual Suspension Order

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 22, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

TRAVIS A. CORDER CORDER LAW OFFICE 11355 W OLYMPIC BLVD STE 100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Ann J. Kim, Enforcement, Los Angeles

Terrie Goldade, Probation, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on September 22, 2015.

Paul Barona

Case Administrator

State Bar Court