1 JERRY KAPLAN, ESQ., BAR NO. 49142 JOAN KENEGOS, ESQ., BAR NO. 94015 2 KAPLAN, KENEGOS & KADIN 9150 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 175 3 FFB 18 2016 Beverly Hills, Ca 90212 (310) 859-7700 STATE BAR COURT 4 **CLERK'S OFFICE** Attorney for Respondent LOS ANGELES 5 kwiktag * 197 146 511 6 7 8 STATE BAR COURT 9 HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES 10 11 In the Matter of: Case No.: 15-0-12406 12 l MARY DERPARSEGHIAN aka RESPONSE TO AMENDED NOTICE OF MARY DER-PARSEGHIAN, DISCIPLINARY CHARGES 13 No. 224541, 14 Respondent, 15 16 Respondent MARY DER-PARSEGHIAN, responds to the amended 17 notice of disciplinary charges filed herein as follows: 18 The address to which all further notices to respondent 19 in relation to these proceedings may be sent is as follows: 20 Jerry Kaplan, Esq. 21 KAPLAN, KENEGOS & KADIN 9150 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 175 22 23 Beverly Hills, Ca 90212 Respondent admits the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the 24 25 Notice of Disciplinary Charges. Respondent admits the allegations of Count One, lines 9 26

28

27

through 15 up to the words April 16, 2015. Respondent denies that

she did not remove funds that she had earned as attorney's fees from her Client Trust Account, and instead, left her attorney's fees in her Client Trust Account to be withdrawn as needed in Wilful Violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 4-100(A).

- 4. Respondent admits the allegations of the first three sentences of Paragraph 3, Count Two. Respondent denies that she failed to maintain a balance of the approximately sum of \$60,000.00 on behalf of her client in her Client Trust Account, in Wilful Violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 4-100 (A).
- 5. Respondent admits the allegations of the first three sentences of Paragraph 4, Count Three. Respondent denies the charge.
- 6. Respondent denies the allegations of Paragraph 5, Count Four in their entirety.
- 7. Respondent admits the allegations of first three sentences of Paragraph 6, Count Five. Respondent denies the charges contained in Paragraph 6, Count Five.
- 8. Respondent denies the charges and facts contained in Paragraph 7, Count Six in their entirety.
- Respondent admits the first four sentences of Paragraph
 Count 7. Respondent denies the allegations of Paragraph 8,
 County Seven in their entirety.
- 10. Respondent admits the allegations of the first four sentences of Paragraph 9, Count Eight. Respondent denies the balance of the allegations of Paragraph 9 and the charge.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 22

First Affirmative Defense

At no time did Respondent misappropriate any money belonging to a client. The money at issue was placed into a separate interest bearing account at the request of and with the consent of the client and deposited into such account pending the ongoing litigation with the remaining defendants and medical lien holders prior to any client distribution.

Second Affirmative Defense

There is no complaining witness and no victim in this case. No charges have been alleged by the clients of Respondent.

WHEREFORE, respondent prays that the Hearing Panel find that the act(s) charged did not constitute professional misconduct or, if misconduct is found, that it be excused virtue of mitigating circumstances.

By:

Dated: February 17, 2016

KAPLAN, KENEGOS & KADIN

neys for Respondent

RY DER-PARSEGHIAN

19

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 PROOFO OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SS. 3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of 4 California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action; my business address is 9150 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 175, Beverly Hills, California 90212. On February 17, 2016 I served the foregoing documents described as RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES on all parties in this action by placing a copy thereof in sealed envelope addressed as follows: Charles T. Calix Deputy Trial Counsel State Bar of California Office of the Chief Trial Counsel 845 South Figueroa Street 11 Los Angeles, Ca 90017 (213) 765-1255 12 [X] BY MAIL 13 ll As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day at Beverly Hills, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of 17 deposit for mailing in affidavit. 18 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 19 EXECUTED on February 17, 2016 at Beverly Hills, California. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28