

PUBLIC MATTER

FILED

JUN 03 2016

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

In the Matter of)	Case Nos.: 15-O-14225-PEM (15-O-14461;
)	15-O-14521)
MARK DAVID GREENBERG,)	
)	ORDER MODIFYING STIPULATION RE
Member No. 99726,)	FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
)	DISPOSITION
A Member of the State Bar.)	
_____)	

On May 10, 2016,¹ this court approved a stipulation re: facts, conclusions of law and disposition prepared by the parties (stipulation).

On May 31, 2016, Donald R. Steedman of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar requested that the court modify the stipulation to reflect the parties' stipulated language in the Bennett matter (case No. 15-O-14521), which the parties inadvertently omitted. The State Bar stated that respondent's counsel, Carol Langford, agrees with the State Bar's motion to amend.

Accordingly, the court **GRANTS** this request.² The parties' stipulation and order approving same filed on May 10, 2016, are amended as follows:

¹ The order approving the stipulation was filed May 10, 2016, and not "May 19, 2016," as noted in the State Bar's motion to amend.

On page 8, in case No. 15-O-14521, the paragraph under the heading "FACTS" of complainant Joseph M. Bennett, the fourth line:

Delete: "Respondent did not comply with these requests until September 2015, which was after the deadline had expired for the client to file a petition for certiorari with the California Supreme Court."

Substitute in its stead: "Respondent did not comply with these requests until September 2015, which was after the deadline had expired for the client to file a petition for certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. The Supreme Court denies the vast majority of certiorari requests, but the client was deprived of his chance, however small it was."

The remaining portions of the stipulation are unchanged.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

PAT MCELROY

Dated: June 3, 2016

PAT McELROY
Judge of the State Bar Court

² The State Bar also asked to add numberings to the paragraphs for the statements of facts and conclusions of law. Such typographical changes are insignificant to the substance of the stipulation and will not be adopted for the purpose of this order.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on June 3, 2016, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):


ORDER MODIFYING STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:
- CAROL LANGFORD
100 PRINGLE AVE #570
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596
- ☐ by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
- ☐ by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
- ☐ by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
- ☐ By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Donald R. Steedman, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on June 3, 2016.


George Hue
Case Administrator
State Bar Court