State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 15-O-14519 - DFM Charles T. Calix Senior Trial Counsel PUBLIC MATTER 645 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-1383 (213) 765-1000 Bar # 146853 NOV 14 2016 In Pro Per Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Keith F. Rouse LOS ANGELES Law Office of Keith F. Rouse 600 S. Lake Avenue. Suite 507 Pasadena, CA 91106 (626) 449-4211 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 170559 **DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING** In the Matter of: **KEITH FRANKLIN ROUSE ACTUAL SUSPENSION** PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 170559 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 6, 1994.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **13** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Effective July 1, 2015)

kwiktag* 211 095 027

(Do n	ot write	e above this line.)
(5)	Cor Law	nclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of
(6)		parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading pporting Authority."
(7)	No pen	more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
(8)		ment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 0.7. (Check one option only):
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles folloing the effective date of the Supreme Court order . (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.
ı	Misc	avating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional onduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are ired.
(1)	[] (a)	Prior record of discipline State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.
(6)		Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.

(Do no	t write	above this line.)
(7)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(8)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(9)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the
(10)		consequences of his or her misconduct. Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
(11)		Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. See Attachment at page 9.
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
C. N	litig	ating Circumstances: ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur. See Attachment at page 9.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)	\boxtimes	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings. See Attachment at page 10.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony

t write	above	this lin	e.)
	produ	uct of	blish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties es no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
	which	n resu	nancial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress lited from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and e directly responsible for the misconduct.
			blems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her fe which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
	in the	elega	racter: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. See nt at page 10.
			tion: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred y convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
	No n	nitiga	ting circumstances are involved.
tiona	al miti	gatin	g circumstances:
N	o Har	m: S	ee Attachment at page 10.
E	motic	nal D	ifficulties and Family Problems: See Attachment at page 10.
P	retria	Stip	ulation: See Attachment at page 10.
isci	pline) :	
\boxtimes	Stay	ed Su	spension:
(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	ondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
	i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
	ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
	iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
(b)	\boxtimes	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.
\boxtimes	Prob	ation	:
Res	ponde of the	ent m e Sup	ust be placed on probation for a period of two years , which will commence upon the effective reme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)
\boxtimes	Actu	al Su	spension:
(a)	\boxtimes	Poor	oondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period
	tiona N E P isci (a) (b) Resident	would produce or distance which which which which which which will be a considered and a constant with the constant with	product of or disabilities. Severe First which result which were personal life. Good Chain the legan Attachment Rehabilitate followed by No mitigate No mitigate No Harm: Secure Emotional Description: Stayed Summary Stayed Summary ii. iii. iii. Characteristics Probation Respondent mediate of the Supplement of the Supplement Actual Summary Actual Summary Actual Summary Severe First which results Probation Actual Summary Actual Summary Actual Summary Severe First which results Probation Actual Summary Actual Summary Probation Actual Summary Actual Summary Severe First which results Actual Summary Actual Summary

(Do n	ot write	e above	this lin	e.)
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following: .
E. /	Addit	tiona	I Co	nditions of Probation:
(1)		he/sl abilit	he pro	lent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until ves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and e general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional t.
(2)	\boxtimes			probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of al Conduct.
(3)		State	Bar a	(10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of in, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(4)		and s cond prob	sched litions ation o	y (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation ule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must neet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(5)		July whet cond are a curre	10, an her Relitions any proent states	nt must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, d October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state espondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there occeedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and tus of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In ad twen	ldition ty (20)	to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		cond Durir in ad	itions ng the dition	nt must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must fully with the probation monitor.
(7)		inqui direc	ries of ted to	assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has with the probation conditions.
(8)	\boxtimes	Prob	ation s	(1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given of that session.

(Do no	ot write	e above	this line.)		
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	on:	
(9)		must	condent must comply with all conditions of so declare under penalty of perjury in corobation.	probat njunctio	ion imposed in the underlying criminal matter and n with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office
(10)	\boxtimes	The 1	following conditions are attached hereto a	nd inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	\boxtimes	Financial Conditions
F. O	the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Partie	s:	
(1)	\boxtimes	the Cor one furt	Multistate Professional Responsibility Example and Examiners, to the Office of Experience, whichever period is longer. Failure	amination of Proba of to pas	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within as the MPRE results in actual suspension without by, California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) &
			No MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(2)		Cal	ifornia Rules of Court, and perform the ac	ts spec	must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20 , ified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 e date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		day per	s or more, he/she must comply with the re	equiremand (c)	If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 tents of rule 9.20 , California Rules of Court, and of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		per	edit for Interim Suspension [conviction iod of his/her interim suspension toward the mencement of interim suspension:	referra ne stipu	I cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the lated period of actual suspension. Date of
(5)		Oth	ner Conditions:		
					•

(Do	not write above this line.)				
1 '	the Matter of: EITH FRANKLIN ROUSE		Case Num 15-O-1451	• •	
Fir	ancial Conditions				
a.	Restitution				
	Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the Coor any portion of the principal amount(s) paid, plus applicable	lient Security Fund (' amount(s) listed belo	'CSF") has i	reimbursed one or more of t	the payee(s) for all
	Payee	Principal Amount		Interest Accrues From]
			<u> </u>		1
			·-··		_
	Respondent must pay above-r Probation not later than	referenced restitution	and provide	e satisfactory proof of payme	ent to the Office of
b.	Installment Restitution Payment	ts			
	Respondent must pay the abo must provide satisfactory proo as otherwise directed by the C probation (or period of reprovathe payment of restitution, incl	f of payment to the C Office of Probation. Nal), Respondent must	office of Prol o later than make any r	pation with each quarterly p 30 days prior to the expirat	robation report, or ion of the period of
	Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Paymen	t Amount	Payment Frequency	
					_
	If Respondent fails to pay any the remaining balance is due a	installment as descri and payable immedia	bed above, tely.	or as may be modified by the	ne State Bar Court,
c.	Client Funds Certificate				
	public accountant or o a. Respondent has n California, at a bra	ust file with each req ther financial profess naintained a bank ac	uired report sional appro count in a b ne State of C	ng the period covered by a racertificate from Responde ved by the Office of Probation and authorized to do busine California, and that such acc	ent and/or a certified on, certifying that: ess in the State of

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account:
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
- iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period
 covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the
 Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the
 accountant's certificate described above.
- The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

KEITH FRANKLIN ROUSE

CASE NUMBER:

15-0-14519

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Keith Franklin Rouse ("Respondent") admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 15-O-14519 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. Between January 9, 2014 and December 23, 2015, Respondent deposited personal funds or earned fees into his client trust account ("CTA") on six occasions totaling \$455,514.84, and disbursed funds from his CTA for business and personal expenses on 155 occasions totaling \$337,781.
 - 2. No client funds were present in his CTA during this time.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 3. By depositing personal funds or earned fees into his CTA on six occasions between January 27, 2014 and June 5, 2015, Respondent deposited or commingled personal funds in his client trust account in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).
- 4. By disbursing funds from his CTA for business and personal expenses on 155 occasions between January 9, 2014 and December 23, 2015, Respondent disbursed or commingled payment of personal expenses from client trust account in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A)::

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): Between on or about January 9, 2014 and on or about December 23, 2015, Respondent deposited earned fees and personal funds into his client trust account on six occasions, and made disbursements from his client trust account for business and personal expenses on 155 occasions, which constitutes multiple acts of misconduct.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline (Std 1.6(a)): Respondent had been a member of the State Bar since June 1994, and had no prior record of discipline before the misconduct began in August 2014. (See *Hawes v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 587, 596 [attorney's practice of law for more than 10 years prior to misconduct worth significant weight in mitigation].)

Spontaneous Candor and Cooperation (Std. 1.6(e)): Respondent freely admitted his misconduct to the State Bar and cooperated in its investigation, and admitted culpability to the two Counts of misconduct in his Response to Notice of Disciplinary Charges. (See *In the Matter of Jensen* (Review Dept. 2013) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 283, 291 [admitting culpability is entitled to considerable weight]; and *In the Matter of Johnson* (Review Dept. 2000) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rtpr. 179, 190 [mitigation is accorded to those who willingly admit their culpability as well as the facts].)

Character Evidence (Std. 1.6(f)): Respondent presented letters attesting to his good character, knowledge, skill, and dedication to his clients from two attorneys, who have known Respondent for 10 and 12 years, and are aware of the full extent of his misconduct. Two of the attorneys also attested to Respondent's emotional and physical difficulties from his divorce and health problems. Respondent also presented letters from four clients who are also personal friends of Respondent, who have each known him for over five years. Each client attested to Respondent's good character, his compassion, professionalism, and awareness of the full extent of his misconduct, and two of the clients were so pleased with Respondent that they referred their friends to him.

Lack of Harm: Although Respondent engaged in commingling on 161 occasions, no client funds were involved and no client, court, or third party was harmed by the commingling.

Emotional Difficulties or Family Problems: In October 2011, Respondent began professional treatment to address personal issues arising from the failure of his 47-year marriage, and on July 1, 2014, Respondent's divorce was finalized. During the period of the misconduct, Respondent was experiencing significant depression and anxiety due to the failure of his marriage, its effect on his relationships with friends and family, and its financial consequences, which caused serious detrimental effects to his personal and professional life. Respondent's treatment has resulted in a significant reduction in his depression and anxiety, and his health care provider has stated that his long term prognosis is excellent and that he anticipates that Respondent's treatment will likely terminate in the near future. During the period of misconduct, Respondent also experienced serious health problems, resulting from complications related to total hip disarticulation and removal of his entire leg. (See Rose v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 646, 667 [family difficulties and other stressful emotional difficulties may be considered in mitigation]; Doyle v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 973, 979 [the fact that misconduct occurred during the period in which attorney was encountering substantial economic, emotional, and business difficulties is entitled to some mitigation]; and In the Matter of Mitchell (Review Dept. 1991) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 332, 341 [mitigation afforded for personal problems based on lay testimony because it was "readily conceivable" that problems clouded attorney's judgment].)

Pretrial Stipulation: By entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged misconduct and is entitled to mitigation for recognition of wrongdoing and saving the State Bar significant resources and time. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability]; In the Matter of Spaith (Review Dept. 1996) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 511, 521 [where the attorney's stipulation to facts and culpability was held to be a mitigating circumstance].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to standards are to this

source.) The standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, Respondent admits to committing two acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a Respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed."

The most severe sanction applicable to Respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.2(a), which applies to Respondent's two violations of rule 4-100(A) [Commingling]. Standard 2.2(a) provides that an actual suspension of three months is the "presumed sanction" for commingling funds in a client trust account in violation of rule 4-100(A). Respondent committed multiple acts of misconduct over two years by depositing earned fees or personal funds into his client trust account on six occasions, and disbursement payments checks for business or personal expenses on 155 occasions. The total amount commingled in Respondent's CTA totals the approximate sum of \$793,295.84 in deposits and disbursements. In mitigation, Respondent demonstrated lack prior record of discipline during his ten years of practice before the misconduct began, lack of harm, spontaneous candor, good character, and emotional difficulties or family problems. In aggravation, Respondent committed multiple acts occurred over a period of two years and involved over three-quarters of a million dollars. Although the mitigation significantly outweighs the aggravation, Respondent's misconduct was extensive and therefore, while there is good cause to deviate from Standard 2.2(a), the amount of deviation is only slight.

Balancing the above, the appropriate level of discipline here will be a one-year suspension, stayed, with a two year probation on the condition of a 60 day actual suspension and other standard conditions, including taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Exam and completing State Bar Client Trust Accounting School will achieve the purposes of attorney discipline, which include protection of the public, the courts, and the legal profession.

///

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of October 13, 2016, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$3,669. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MINIMUM CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION ("MCLE") CREDIT

Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of the State Bar's Ethics School or Client Trust Accounting School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

the Matter of:	Case number(s):	
EITH FRANKLIN ROUSE	15-O-14519	
DITTI I REVINEDIA ROODE		

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

10-18-201 Date	Kuth 7 Rouse Respondent's Signature	Keith F. Rouse
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
10-24-16	KIAK	Charles T. Calix
Date /	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

I THE WILL INTO THE	er of:		Case Number(s):
	RANKLIN ROUSE		15-O-14519
		ACTUAL SUS	PENSION ORDER
			adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the ED without prejudice, and:
	The stipulated facts Supreme Court.	and disposition are Al	PPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
\boxtimes		and disposition are AlcOMMENDED to the S	PPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are	e vacated.	
Page 6, para days.	ngraph F(3) is omitt	ed as a probation co	ndition as Respondent's actual suspension is less than 9
aay o.			
			ess: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed
within 15 day stipulation. (s after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F)	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.)) this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date.
within 15 day stipulation. (3 of the Supr e	s after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F)	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.)) this court modifies or further modifies the approved
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	s after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F)	order, is granted; or 2 , Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days) this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date.
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), eme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of TTE D. ROLAND
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), eme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), eme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), arme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), arme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), arme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of TTE D. ROLAND
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), arme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
within 15 day stipulation. (i of the Supre Court.)	rs after service of this See rule 5.58(E) & (F), arme Court order here	order, is granted; or 2, Rules of Procedure.) ein, normally 30 days	this court modifies or further modifies the approved The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on November 14, 2016, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

KEITH F. ROUSE LAW OFC KEITH F ROUSE 600 S LAKE AVE STE 507 PASADENA, CA 91106

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

CHARLES CALIX, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on November 14, 2016.

Rose M. Luthi Case Administrator State Bar Court

oseH. Lithe