

### State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco **REPROVAL** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 15-0-15562 **PUBLIC MATTER** Heather E. Abelson **Deputy Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street** San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2357 FILE Bar # 243691 JAN 0 7 20 Counsel For Respondent Yan Erick Shrayberman STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE PO Box 506 **BAN FRANCISCO** Fresno, CA 93709 (559) 779-2315 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 253971 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: HAYDEN GIFFORD SMITH **PUBLIC REPROVAL** PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 241606 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted February 2, 2006.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

 $\overline{\Omega}$ 

kwiktag \* 197 148 366

<u>(D</u>	not w	rite abo	ove this line.)			
(5)		Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".				
(6)		The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."				
(7)		No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)		aymen 40.7.	t of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & (Check one option only):			
		re Ca Cd (H	osts are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public proval). ase ineligible for costs (private reproval). osts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: lardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If			
		Co	espondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar burt, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  Osts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".  Osts are entirely waived.			
(9)	The	e parti	ies understand that:			
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.			
	(b)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.			
	(c)	$\boxtimes$	A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.			
Mis	Aggr conduired	duct,	ing Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are			
(1)		Prior	record of discipline			
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case			
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective			
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:			
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline			

(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by misrepresentation.
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by concealment.
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by overreaching.
(6)		<b>Uncharged Violations:</b> Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code or the Rules of Professional Conduct.
(7)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(8)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(9)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(10)		Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
(11)	$\boxtimes$	<b>Multiple Acts:</b> Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. See "Facts Supporting Aggravating Circumstances" in the attachment hereto at page 11.
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:
C. N circ	litig: ums	ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.

(D0 I	not wri	e above this line.)
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ onin restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	l mitigating circumstances:
	at p	Prefiling Stipulation - See "Facts Supporting Mitigating Circumstances" in the attachment hereto age 11.
D. D	isci	pline:
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
(2)		Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)
E. C	ondi	tions Attached to Reproval:
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one year.
F-61		

(Do no	t write	a above this line.)
(2)	$\boxtimes$	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(4)	$\boxtimes$	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
(7)	$\boxtimes$	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
		No Ethics School recommended. Reason: As part of respondent's Agreement in Lieu of Discipline in case no. 13-0-15522, respondent successfully completed Ethics School on May 7, 2015.
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
(10)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.
		No MPRE recommended. Reason:

(Do not wr	te above	e this line.)			
(11)	The	following conditions are attached hereto a	nd inco	orporated:	٧.
	☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions				
		Medical Conditions	$\boxtimes$	Financial Conditions	
F. Othe	er Coi	nditions Negotiated by the Partie	s:		

t	he Matter of: YDEN GIFFORD SMITH	Case No 15-O-15	umber(s): <b>562</b>	
Fina	ancial Conditions			
a. F	Restitution			
[		Client Security Fund ("CSF") hat amount(s) listed below, Respor	unt, plus interest of 10% per ann s reimbursed one or more of the ndent must also pay restitution to	payee(s) for a
Γ	Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From	
t	Consuelo Palafox	\$2,396.34	March 20, 2012	
-				
	Respondent must pay above- Probation not later than	referenced restitution and provi	de satisfactory proof of payment	to the Office of
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory produces of the payment o	ove-referenced restitution on the of payment to the Office of Pr	obation with each quarterly prob	ation report, o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the 0	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Pr Office of Probation. No later tha al), Respondent must make any	e payment schedule set forth belo obation with each quarterly prob in 30 days prior to the expiration o necessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the comprobation (or period of reproving the payment of restitution, incompared (as applicable)	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later that al), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.  Minimum Payment Amount	obation with each quarterly probation 30 days prior to the expiration recessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the 0 probation (or period of reproviet the payment of restitution, income	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Pr Office of Probation. No later tha al), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.	obation with each quarterly prob in 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the comprobation (or period of reproving the payment of restitution, incompared (as applicable)	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later that al), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.  Minimum Payment Amount	obation with each quarterly probation 30 days prior to the expiration recessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the comprobation (or period of reproving the payment of restitution, incompared (as applicable)	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later that al), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.  Minimum Payment Amount	obation with each quarterly probation 30 days prior to the expiration recessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the comprobation (or period of reproving the payment of restitution, incompared (as applicable)	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Probation. No later that all, Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount \$333.33	obation with each quarterly probe in 30 days prior to the expiration of necessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o rder to comple
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the 0 probation (or period of reprovide payment of restitution, incompage (CSF (as applicable) Consuelo Palafox  If Respondent fails to pay any	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Probation. No later that all, Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount \$333.33	obation with each quarterly probe in 30 days prior to the expiration of necessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period o rder to comple
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the Coprobation (or period of reprovide payment of restitution, incompage (CSF (as applicable))  Consuelo Palafox  If Respondent fails to pay any the remaining balance is due client Funds Certificate  1. If Respondent posses report, Respondent must remain must pay the remaining balance is due client.	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Prooffice of Probation. No later that all, Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount	obation with each quarterly probe in 30 days prior to the expiration of necessary final payment(s) in o	ation report, o of the period of rder to comple State Bar Cour sired quarterly and/or a certifi
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the Coprobation (or period of reprovide payment of restitution, incompayment of the payment of restitution, incompayment of res	ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Proof	pobation with each quarterly probation 30 days prior to the expiration recessary final payment(s) in or recessary final payment(s) in or requested as may be modified by the State of the certificate from Respondent at certificate from Respondent at certificate of Probation, bank authorized to do business California, and that such accounts.	ation report of the period rider to consider to consider the considerate Bar Considerate Bar Considerate the constitution of the State State State State Bar Considerate the constitution of the State

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
  - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such client:
    - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
    - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
    - 4. the current balance for such client.
  - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such account:
    - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
    - 3. the current balance in such account.
  - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
  - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
  - i. each item of security and property held;
  - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
  - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
  - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
  - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d.	Client	Trust	Accounting	School
u.	CHELL	HUSL	MCCCO GIIIIII G	SUHUU

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School
within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

### **ATTACHMENT TO**

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

HAYDEN GIFFORD SMITH

CASE NUMBER:

15-O-15562

# FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

# Case No. 15-O-15562 (State Bar Investigation)

#### **FACTS**:

- 1. On April 14, 2014, respondent and the State Bar entered into an Agreement in Lieu of Discipline ("ALD") in case no. 13-O-15522. In the ALD, respondent admitted to violating Rules of Professional Conduct, rules 3-110(A), 3-700(A)(1), and 4-100(B)(3). Respondent agreed, among other conditions, to file quarterly reports, pay monthly installment restitution payments to his former client, Consuelo Palafox ("Palafox"), report on these payments in his quarterly reports, and complete an out of state professionalism course and continuing legal education in ethics.
- 2. On April 24, 2014, the Office of Probation sent a letter to respondent detailing the conditions of the ALD. Respondent received this letter.
- 3. Respondent failed to file his quarterly report by their deadlines of July 10, 2014 and October 10, 2014, and also failed to provide proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox by the same deadlines.
- 4. On August 26, 2014, the Office of Probation sent a letter to respondent stating that he was not in compliance with the terms of his ALD. Respondent received this letter.
- 5. On November 6, 2014, the Office of Probation sent a letter to respondent detailing how respondent was not in compliance with the terms of his ALD. Respondent received this letter.
- 6. On December 1, 2014, respondent untimely submitted the two quarterly reports which were due by July 10 and October 10, 2014. The reports were defective because they were not signed under penalty of perjury and listed incorrect reporting dates.
- 7. On December 1, 2014, Palafox executed a declaration setting forth the monthly installment restitution payments that she had received from respondent. According to Palafox's declaration, respondent untimely paid monthly installment restitution payments that were due by June 1, July 1, August 1, September 1, October 1 and November 1, 2014.
- 8. Respondent failed to make a monthly installment restitution payment to Palafox for the month of December 2014.

- 9. On March 1, 2015, respondent untimely paid monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox that were due by January 1 and February 1, 2015.
- 10. On March 18, 2015, respondent and the State Bar agreed to modify the ALD as follows: (1) extend the deadline to file quarterly reports and proof of restitution that were due on July 10, 2014, October 10, 2014, and January 10, 2015, to April 1, 2015; and (2) modify the out of state CLE requirement to require respondent to successfully complete State Bar Ethics School by May 30, 2015.
- 11. On March 20, 2015, respondent untimely filed quarterly reports and proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox that were due by July 10, 2014, October 10, 2014, and January 10, 2015.
- 12. Respondent failed file a quarterly report or provide proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox by their deadline of April 10, 2015.
- 13. On April 30, 2015, respondent untimely paid the monthly installment restitution payment to Palafox that was due by April 1, 2015.
- 14. Respondent failed to provide proof of successful completion of Ethics School by the deadline of May 30, 2015.
- 15. On June 5, 2015, respondent untimely paid to Palafox a portion of the monthly installment restitution payments that were due by April 1 and May 1, 2015.
- 16. On June 26, 2015, the Office of Probation sent a letter to respondent stating that he was not in compliance with the ALD. Respondent received this letter.
- 17. Respondent failed to file a quarterly report or provide proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox by their deadline of July 10, 2015.
- 18. On July 15, 2015, respondent late filed quarterly reports and proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox that were due by April 10, 2015 and July 10, 2015. Respondent also late reported successful completion of Ethics School.
- 19. On August 4, 2015, respondent untimely paid monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox that were due by May 1, June 1, July 1, and August 1, 2015.
- 20. To date, respondent has not paid monthly installment restitution payments to Consuelo Palafox that were due by November 1 and December 1, 2015.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

21. By failing to timely submit two quarterly reports by their due dates of April 10, 2015 and July 10, 2015, failing to timely submit one quarterly report by its due date of October 10, 2015, failing to timely submit proof of successful completion of State Bar Ethics School by the due date of May 30, 2015, failing to make timely monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox by their due dates of June 1, 2014, July 1, 2014, August 1, 2014, September 1, 2014, October 1, 2014, November 1, 2014, January 1, 2015, February 1, 2015, April 1, 2015, May 1, 2015, June 1, 2015, July 1, 2015 and August 1,

2015, failing to make monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox by their due dates of December 1, 2014, November 1, 2015 and December 1, 2015, failing to timely submit proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Palafox by their due dates of April 10, 2015 and July 10, 2015, and failing to submit proof of monthly installment restitution payments to Consuelo Palafox by the due date of October 10, 2015, respondent failed to comply with conditions attached to the Agreement in Lieu of Discipline administered to respondent by the State Bar in case no. 13-O-15522, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(1).

# FACTS SUPPORTING AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): Respondent engaged in multiple violations of the conditions attached to his ALD from case no. 13-O-15522. Respondent's multiple acts of misconduct constitute an aggravating circumstance pursuant to Standard 1.5(b). In the Matter of Tiernan (Review Dept. 1996) 3 Cal State Bar Ct. Rptr. 523, 529 [holding that failure to cooperate with probation monitor and failures to timely file probation reports constituted multiple acts of misconduct])

# FACTS SUPPORTING MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Prefiling Stipulation:** Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to the filing of a Notice of Disciplinary Charges, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources. Also, by entering into the prefiling stipulation, respondent is recognizing his misconduct and attempting to atone. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the

member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Here, Standard 2.12 applies to respondent's violation of various conditions of his ALD. Standard 2.12(b) provides that "[r]eproval is the presumed sanction for a violation of the duties required of an attorney under Business and Professions Code section 6068(i), (j), (l) or (o)." Here, a public reproval is warranted, as opposed to a private reproval, because respondent's misconduct is aggravated by multiple acts of misconduct, and the fact that respondent has repeatedly demonstrated his inability to comply with his ALD.

Conroy v. State Bar (1990) 51 Cal. 3d 799, supports a public reproval in this matter. In Conroy, the respondent attorney received a 60-day actual suspension for failing to comply with a single condition of probation – failing to take the MPRE. The Supreme Court found that respondent's misconduct was significantly aggravated by a prior record of discipline, the fact that respondent had failed to participate in his second disciplinary proceeding until he filed a petition for writ of review with the Supreme Court, and Conroy's lack of recognition of wrongdoing.

Here, although respondent violated more ALD conditions in comparison to attorney Conroy's single violation of a probation condition, respondent's misconduct is less egregious than the misconduct at issue in Conroy since respondent does not have a prior record of discipline and has not yet failed to participate in this matter, both factors which the Review Department in *Conroy* found were substantial aggravating factors.

Based on all facts and circumstances, Standard 2.12, and applicable caselaw, a public reproval is the appropriate level of discipline.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of December 15, 2015, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$3,066.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

In the Matter of: HAYDEN GIFFORD SMITH	Case number(s): 15-O-15562	

### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

12/21/15	Atanh Smith	Hayden Gifford Smith
Date	Responden's Signature	Print Name
12/21/16	Mrs Stature -	Yan Schraybermar
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
12/25/16		Heather E. Abelson
Date / L	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

In the Matter of:	Case Number(s):
HAYDEN GIFFORD SMITH	15-O-15562
RE	EPROVAL ORDER
inding that the stipulation protects the public and ttached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the rejudice, and:	d that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions e requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
The stipulated facts and disposition	are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
The stipulated facts and disposition REPROVAL IMPOSED.	are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the
All court dates in the Hearing Depa	rtment are vacated.
thin 15 days after service of this order, is grante	ved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after
illure to comply with any conditions attached oceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rul	d to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate es of Professional Conduct.
Jan 7 2016	Cat McElyra
ate ()	

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on January 7, 2016, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

i

n a se	aled envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
$\boxtimes$	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:
	YAN E. SHRAYBERMAN PO BOX 506 FRESNO, CA 93709
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
$\boxtimes$	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	Heather E. Abelson, Enforcement, San Francisco
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco California, on y 7, 2016.

State Bar Court