

1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA AUG 02 2016			
2	OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL GREGORY P. DRESSER, No. 136532 INTERIM CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL CLERK'S OFFICE			
3	MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 LOS ANGELES			
4	ACTING DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ANTHONY J. GARCIA, No. 171419 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL			
5	ELI D. MORGENSTERN, No. 190560 SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL			
6	845 South Figueroa Street			
7	Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 Telephone: (213) 765-1334			
8				
9	STATE BAR COURT			
10	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES			
11				
12	In the Matter of:) Case No. 15-O-15839			
13	RICHARD ARTHUR SCHULENBERG,) FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES			
14) BISCH ENVIROR			
15	A Member of the State Bar			
16	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!			
17	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT			
18	THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:			
19	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU			
20	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN			
21	THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND;			
22	(4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.			
23				
24				
25				
26	/ /			
27				

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. Richard Arthur Schulenberg ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on January 5, 1966, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 15-O-15839
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A)
[Failure to Maintain Client Funds in Trust Account]

- 2. On or about October 31, 2012, Narul Anthony Hack, the President of Greensboro Real Estate Holdings, LLC ("Greensboro"); Terence Mackenzie, Chief Executive Officer of Connect Universal, LLC ("Connect"); and respondent entered into an Escrow Agreement.
- 3. The Escrow Agreement was prepared in conjunction with a Letter of Intent/MOU between Greensboro and Connect. The Letter of Intent/MOU involved Connect facilitating the delivery of a 500,000,000 Euro Bank Guarantee from Barclays Bank for the benefit of Greensboro.
- 4. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, Mr. Hack was to deposit \$325,000 into respondent's client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, account no. xxxxx74934¹ ("respondent's client trust account"), which was "to serve as an insurance security deposit/transmission fee." Pursuant to the instructions of the Escrow Agreement, respondent agreed to maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account as an Escrow Agent until he was notified in a writing, jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie, "that the Transaction [had] been successfully consummated." Respondent signed the Escrow Agreement, and had actual knowledge of the terms of the Escrow Agreement at all times relevant to the charges herein.
- 5. On or about October 31, 2012, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Greensboro, transferred \$325,000 ("Escrow Funds") into respondent's client trust account pursuant to the Escrow Agreement.

 $^{^{1}}$ The full account number is omitted for privacy reasons.

6. Between on or about October 31, 2012, and on or about November 5, 2012, 1 respondent transferred the Escrow Funds to the accounts of various individuals and entities 2 without the knowledge, authorization, and consent of Mr. Hack, without being notified in writing 3 jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie that the transaction had been successfully 4 5 consummated, and contrary to the terms of the Escrow Agreement. 7. By failing to maintain the Escrow Funds in respondent's client trust account, 6 7 respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A). 8

COUNT TWO

Case No. 15-O-15839 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 [Moral Turpitude – Misappropriation]

- 8. On or about October 31, 2012, Narul Anthony Hack, the President of Greensboro Real Estate Holdings, LLC ("Greensboro"); Terence Mackenzie, Chief Executive Officer of Connect Universal, LLC ("Connect"); and respondent entered into an Escrow Agreement.
- 9. The Escrow Agreement was prepared in conjunction with a Letter of Intent/MOU between Greensboro and Connect. The Letter of Intent/MOU involved Connect facilitating the delivery of a 500,000,000 Euro Bank Guarantee from Barclays Bank for the benefit of Greensboro.
- 10. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, Mr. Hack was to deposit \$325,000 into respondent's client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, account no. xxxxx749342 ("respondent's client trust account"), which was "to serve as an insurance security deposit/transmission fee." Pursuant to the instructions of the Escrow Agreement, respondent agreed to maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account as an Escrow Agent until he was notified in a writing, jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie, "that the Transaction [had] been successfully consummated." Respondent signed the Escrow Agreement, and had actual knowledge of the terms of the Escrow Agreement at all times relevant to the charges herein.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $^{^{2}}$ The full account number is omitted for privacy reasons.

11. In his capacity as an escrow agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, respondent owed Mr. Hack a fiduciary duty to either maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account or disburse the funds consistent with the terms of the Escrow Agreement.

- 12. On or about October 31, 2012, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Greensboro, transferred \$325,000 ("Escrow Funds") into respondent's client trust account pursuant to the Escrow Agreement.
- 13. Between on or about October 31, 2012, and on or about November 5, 2012, respondent intentionally or with gross negligence misappropriated the Escrow Funds by transferring the Escrow Funds to the accounts of various individuals and entities without the knowledge, authorization, and consent of Mr. Hack, without being notified in a writing jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie that the transaction had been successfully consummated, and contrary to the terms of the Escrow Agreement.
- 14. By knowingly or with gross negligence misappropriating the Escrow Funds, respondent willfully committed an act(s) of moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.

COUNT THREE

Case No. 15-O-15839
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a)
[Failure to Comply With Laws-Breach of Common Law Fiduciary Duty]

- 15. On or about October 31, 2012, Narul Anthony Hack, the President of Greensboro Real Estate Holdings, LLC ("Greensboro"); Terence Mackenzie, Chief Executive Officer of Connect Universal, LLC ("Connect"); and respondent entered into an Escrow Agreement.
- 16. The Escrow Agreement was prepared in conjunction with a Letter of Intent/MOU between Greensboro and Connect. The Letter of Intent/MOU involved Connect facilitating the delivery of a 500,000,000 Euro Bank Guarantee from Barclays Bank for the benefit Greensboro.
- 17. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, Mr. Hack was to deposit \$325,000 into respondent's client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, account no. xxxxx74934³ ("respondent's

The full account number is omitted for privacy reasons.

client trust account"), which was "to serve as an insurance security deposit/transmission fee." Pursuant to the instructions of the Escrow Agreement, respondent agreed to maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account as an Escrow Agent until he was notified in a writing, jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie, "that the Transaction [had] been successfully consummated." Respondent signed the Escrow Agreement, and had actual knowledge of the terms of the Escrow Agreement at all times relevant to the charges herein.

- 18. In his capacity as an escrow agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, respondent owed Mr. Hack a fiduciary duty to either maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account or disburse the funds consistent with the terms of the Escrow Agreement.
- 19. On or about October 31, 2012, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Greensboro, transferred \$325,000 ("Escrow Funds") into respondent's client trust account pursuant to the Escrow Agreement.
- 20. Between on or about October 31, 2012, and on or about November 5, 2012, respondent misappropriated the Escrow Funds by transferring the Escrow Funds to the accounts of various individuals and entities without the knowledge, authorization, and consent of Mr. Hack, without being notified in a writing jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie that the transaction had been successfully consummated, and contrary to the terms of the Escrow Agreement.
- 21. By transferring the Escrow Funds out of respondent's client trust account in violation of the terms of the Escrow Agreement, respondent breached his fiduciary duties toward Mr. Hack and Greensboro, and thereby failed to support the Constitution and the laws of the United States and of this state in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a).

COUNT FOUR

Case No. 15-O-15839
Business and Professions Code, section 6106
[Moral Turpitude-Misrepresentation]

22. On or about October 31, 2012, Narul Anthony Hack, the President of Greensboro Real Estate Holdings, LLC ("Greensboro"); Terence Mackenzie, Chief Executive Officer of Connect Universal, LLC ("Connect"); and respondent entered into an Escrow Agreement.

23. The Escrow Agreement was prepared in conjunction with a Letter of Intent/MOU between Greensboro and Connect. The Letter of Intent/MOU involved Connect facilitating the delivery of a 500,000,000 Euro Bank Guarantee from Barclays Bank for the benefit of Greensboro.

24. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, Mr. Hack was to deposit \$325,000 into respondent's client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, account no. xxxxx74934⁴ ("respondent's client trust account"), which was "to serve as an insurance security deposit/transmission fee." Pursuant to the instructions of the Escrow Agreement, respondent agreed to maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account as an escrow agent until he was notified in a writing, jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie, "that the Transaction [had] been successfully consummated." Respondent signed the Escrow Agreement, and had actual knowledge of the terms of the Escrow Agreement at all times relevant to the charges herein.

25. In his capacity as an escrow agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, respondent owed Mr. Hack a fiduciary duty to either maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account or disburse the funds consistent with the terms of the Escrow Agreement.

26. On or about October 31, 2012, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Greensboro, transferred \$325,000 ("Escrow Funds") into respondent's client trust account pursuant to the Escrow Agreement.

27. Between on or about October 31, 2012, and on or about November 5, 2012, respondent transferred the Escrow Funds to the accounts of various individuals and entities without the knowledge, authorization, and consent of Mr. Hack, without being notified in a writing jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie that the transaction had been successfully consummated, and contrary to the terms of the Escrow Agreement. Between on or about November 5, 2012, and on or about January 13, 2013, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Mr. Hack, had at least six telephone conversations with respondent. During each of the telephone conversations, respondent represented to Mr. Hack's attorney that respondent

⁴ The full account number is omitted for privacy reasons.

1 continued to maintain the Escrow Funds in respondent's client trust account, when respondent 2 knew, or was grossly negligent in not knowing, that the Escrow Funds were not maintained in 3 respondent's client trust account and that the representations were false. 4 28. By knowingly or with gross negligence misrepresenting to Mr. Hack's attorney that 5 he continued to maintain the Escrow Funds in respondent's client trust account, respondent 6 willfully committed an act(s) of moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in violation of 7 Business and Professions Code section 6106. 8 **COUNT FIVE** 9 Case No. 15-O-15839 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) 10 [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds] 11 29. On or about October 31, 2012, Narul Anthony Hack, the President of Greensboro 12 Real Estate Holdings, LLC ("Greensboro"); Terence Mackenzie, Chief Executive Officer of 13 Connect Universal, LLC ("Connect"); and respondent entered into an Escrow Agreement. 14 30. The Escrow Agreement was prepared in conjunction with a Letter of Intent/MOU 15 between Greensboro and Connect. The Letter of Intent/MOU involved Connect facilitating the 16 delivery of a 500,000,000 Euro Bank Guarantee from Barclays Bank for the benefit Greensboro. 17 31. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, Mr. Hack was to deposit \$325,000 into respondent's client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, account no. xxxxx749345 ("respondent's 18 19 client trust account"), which was "to serve as an insurance security deposit/transmission fee." 20 Pursuant to the instructions of the Escrow Agreement, respondent agreed to maintain the 21 \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account as an escrow agent until he was notified in a 22 writing, jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie, "that the Transaction [had] been 23 successfully consummated." Respondent signed the Escrow Agreement, and had actual 24 knowledge of the terms of the Escrow Agreement at all times relevant to the charges herein. 25 /// 26 ///

 5 The full account number is omitted for privacy reasons.

27

11

21

27

28

Connect Universal, LLC ("Connect"); and respondent entered into an Escrow Agreement.

39. The Escrow Agreement was prepared in conjunction with a Letter of Intent/MOU between Greensboro and Connect. The Letter of Intent/MOU involved Connect facilitating the delivery of a 500,000,000 Euro Bank Guarantee from Barclays Bank for the benefit Greensboro.

- 40. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, Mr. Hack was to deposit \$325,000 into respondent's client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, account no. xxxxx74934⁶ ("respondent's client trust account"), which was "to serve as an insurance security deposit/transmission fee." Pursuant to the instructions of the Escrow Agreement, respondent agreed to maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account as an escrow agent until he was notified in a writing, jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie, "that the Transaction [had] been successfully consummated." Respondent signed the Escrow Agreement, and had actual knowledge of the terms of the Escrow Agreement at all times relevant to the charges herein.
- 41. In his capacity as an escrow agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, respondent owed Mr. Hack a fiduciary duty to either maintain the \$325,000 in respondent's client trust account or disburse the funds consistent with the terms of the Escrow Agreement.
- 42. On or about October 31, 2012, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Greensboro, transferred \$325,000 ("Escrow Funds") into respondent's client trust account pursuant to the Escrow Agreement.
- 43. Between on or about October 31, 2012, and on or about November 5, 2012, respondent transferred the Escrow Funds to the accounts of various entities without the knowledge, authorization, and consent of Mr. Hack, without being notified in a writing jointly signed by Messrs. Hack and Mackenzie that the transaction had been successfully consummated, and contrary to the terms of the Escrow Agreement.
- 44. On or about January 14, 2013, Mr. Hack's attorney, on behalf of Greensboro, sent an email to respondent, which respondent received, demanding the return of the Escrow Funds.
 - 45. To date, respondent has failed to return any portion of the Escrow Funds to Mr. Hack.

⁶ The full account number is omitted for privacy reasons.

46. By failing to return any portion of the Escrow Funds to Mr, Hack, respondent has 1 2 failed to pay promptly, as requested by a client, any portion of the \$325,000 in respondent's 3 possession, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(4). **NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!** 5 YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR 6 COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL 7 THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN 8 INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE 9 RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. 10 **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!** 11 IN PUBLIC THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS 12 INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND 13 PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. 14 Respectfully submitted, 15 THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 16 17 18 By: DATED: August 1, 2016 19 Senior Trial Counsel 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27



by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 15-O-15839

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-2515, declare that:

- on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:

FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES				
By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) - in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.				
By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) - I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').				
By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.				
By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s_ at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.				
(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)				
(for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 9414 7266 9904 2010 0639 26 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)				
(for overnight belivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, Tracking No.: addressed to: (see below)				
Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:	
Arthur Margolis	Margolis & Margolis LLP 2000 Riverside Dr. Los Angeles, CA 90039	Electronic Address		
via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:				
N/A				
I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.				
I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.				
I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.				
DATED: August 2, 201	6 Signe	JULI FINNILA	-, -	

State Bar of California
DECLARATION OF SERVICE

Declarant