



FILED

JUN 26 2015 *Fre*

STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of)	Case No.: 15-ZR-13102-DFM
)	
GARY P. ROYLE,)	DECISION RESTORING PETITIONER
)	TO ACTIVE STATUS
Member No. 212199,)	
)	
<u>A Member of the State Bar.</u>)	

By this proceeding, petitioner **Gary P. Royle** (Petitioner) seeks to be restored to active status pursuant to rule 5.205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. In the past, Petitioner had been enrolled inactive pursuant to separate orders issued pursuant to subdivisions (b)(2) and (b)(3) of section 6007 of the Business and Professions Code. On October 24, 2014, this court issued an order terminating the order of inactive enrollment previously entered pursuant to subdivision (B)(3) (case No. 14-ZT-04544). However, as made clear in a subsequent “clarification” order filed on October 30, 2014, that order did not terminate the order of inactive enrollment entered pursuant to section 6007, subdivision (b)(2), as a result of the Los Angeles Superior Court having previously assumed jurisdiction over Petitioner’s law practice.

On June 2, 2015, the Los Angeles Superior Court filed an order formally terminating its jurisdiction over Petitioner’s practice. As a result, Petitioner now seeks to be fully restored to active status.

On June 25, 2015, a status conference was held in this matter. Participating in the conference were Petitioner and Senior Trial Counsel Mia Ellis. During the conference, the State Bar indicated that it had no opposition to Petitioner being restored to active status.¹ To facilitate Petitioner’s requested relief being granted, the State Bar waived any ability or need for it to file a

¹ In the previous proceeding by Petitioner to be restored to active status, the State Bar had joined in a joint stipulation that the requested relief could be granted.

formal written response to the petition in this proceeding and both parties agreed that the petition could immediately be submitted for decision by this court based on the petition and its attachments.

Good cause appearing, this court now finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Los Angeles Superior Court has now relinquished its jurisdiction over Petitioner's practice and there is no longer a basis for Petitioner's involuntary inactive enrollment pursuant to section 6007, subdivision (b)(2) or otherwise. Accordingly, it is ordered that petitioner **Gary P. Royle**, State Bar number 212199, be restored forthwith to active status, effective as of the date this decision is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 25, 2015



DONALD F. MILES
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 26, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

DECISION RESTORING PETITIONER TO ACTIVE STATUS

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

GARY P. ROYLE
1411 STOCKTON ST # 109
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94133

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

MIA ELLIS, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on June 26, 2015.



Tammy Cleaver
Case Administrator
State Bar Court