FILED

AUG 1 6 2018

STATE BAR COUR CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES

### STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

#### REVIEW DEPARTMENT

#### IN BANK

In the Matter of	)	Case No. 16-O-14533 (16-O-16600;
LESLIE VICTOR AMPONSAH,	)	16-O-16775)
A Member of the State Bar, No. 164434.	)	ORDER
	)	

On June 23, 2017, the Supreme Court transmitted a disciplinary order (S240903) that included a requirement that respondent comply with the probation conditions the State Bar Court recommended and, as a separate order, that he (1) provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of the order, and (2) perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court within 30 and 40 days respectively after the effective date of the order. The Supreme Court order became effective July 23, 2017. Respondent has not passed the MPRE, which was due July 23, 2018.

# I. Motion re MPRE Extension of Time

On August 1, 2018, respondent filed a motion to extend time to take the MPRE. We find that he has failed to show good cause in support of his request because he has failed to establish good cause pursuant to rule 5.162(E) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Respondent's motion is therefore denied without prejudice to refiling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further references to rules are to this source unless otherwise stated.



Since respondent has not passed the MPRE within the time prescribed in the Supreme Court order filed June 23, 2017, under the authority of California Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b), respondent Leslie Victor Amponsah, State Bar Number 164434, is suspended from the practice of law in this state, effective August 27, 2018, pending proof of passage.

# II. Motion re Rule 9.20 Extension of Time

On August 21, 2018, respondent also filed a motion to extend time to file a compliant rule 9.20 declaration. Respondent did not file a compliant rule 9.20 declaration by the due date of September 1, 2017. On July 20, 2018, the Hearing Department issued a decision in Case Nos. 17-N-06871 and 17-O-06931 (consolidated) that recommended discipline for respondent's rule 9.20 non-compliance as ordered by the Supreme Court on June 23, 2017. We deny respondent's request for an extension of time to comply with this rule 9.20 order because it is now untimely.

PURCELL	
Presiding Judge	

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on August 16, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER FILED AUGUST 16, 2018

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

KENUMI MAATAFALE MAATAFALE GROUP 8840 WILSHIRE BLVD #320 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90211

KEITH LANDRUM LANDRUM LAW FIRM LLP 8840 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE 321 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90211

KENUMI T MAATAFALE MAATAFALE LAW GROUP 444 W OCEAN BLVD FL 8 LONG BEACH, CA 90802

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Terrie Goldade, Office of Probation, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on August 16, 2018.

Julieta E. Gonzales

Court Specialist

State Bar Court