	Bar Court of Califor Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION	nia
Counsel For The State Bar Charles T. Calix Senior Trial Counsel 845 E. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-2515 (213) 765-1255	Case Number(s): 16-O-16190	FILED
Bar # 146853 Counsel For Respondent		JUN 2 6 2018 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
Anthony Radogna 1 Park Plaza, Suite 600 Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 852-7312	LIC MATTI	
Bar # 261859 In the Matter of: DAVID RYAN GRIFFIN	Submitted to: Assigned Jud STIPULATION RE FACTS, C DISPOSITION AND ORDER	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
	ACTUAL SUSPENSION	
Bar # 76619 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	PREVIOUS STIPULATION	N REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 21, 1977.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **14** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

WT 5-20-18

kwiktag* 237 304 666

<u>(Do</u>	not w	rite above this line.)
(5)	C L	conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of aw".
(6)	T "S	he parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading Supporting Authority."
(7)	N pe	o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
(8)	P: 61	ayment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 140.7. (Check one option only):
		relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
	WISC	ravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional conduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are aired.
(1)	(a)	Prior record of discipline State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.
(6)		Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.
(7)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.

not wr	Ite above this line.)
	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.
	Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
	Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
\boxtimes	Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. See page 11.
	Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
	Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
	Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
ition	al aggravating circumstances:
litig ircu	ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
	No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.
	Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
	Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
	Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
	Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.
,	Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
	litigiircu

(Do	not w	rite at	ove this	line.)
(9)		- AA I	men re	Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress sulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and ere directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)] Fa	amily F ersonal	Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)] Go in	ood Ch the leg	naracter: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references at and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		Re fol	e habil i lowed	tation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No	mitig	ating circumstances are involved.
Add	itior	nal m	itigati	ng circumstances:
	!	No P	rior Di	scipline, see page 11.
	F	Prefi	ling St	ipulation, see page 11.
D. E	Disc	iplii	ne:	
(1)	\boxtimes	Sta	yed S	uspension:
	(a)	\boxtimes	Res	pondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ü.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
	(b)	\boxtimes	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	\boxtimes	Pro	bation	:
	Res date	pond of t	dent m	ust be placed on probation for a period of two years , which will commence upon the effective reme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)
(3)	\boxtimes	Act	ual Su	spension:
	(a)	×	Resp of 30	condent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period days.
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

(Effective July 1, 2015)

(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)
		iii. and until Respondent does the following:
E. .	Add	itional Conditions of Probation:
(1)		If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
(2)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)	Ø	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(4)	\boxtimes	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
(7)	×	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
		□ No Ethics School recommended. Reason:
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
(E#*		v.1. 2015)

(Do :	ot write	above	this line.)		
(10)	\boxtimes	★ The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:			rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	\boxtimes	Financial Conditions
F. (Other	Con	ditions Negotiated by the Parties	s:	
(1)	⊠	Con- one furti	ference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of year, whichever period is longer. Failure	mination Probation	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within as the MPRE results in actual suspension without), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) &
			o MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(2)		Calli	Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.		
(3)		perfo	or more, he/she must comply with the red	quireme	If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 ents of rule 9.20 , California Rules of Court, and of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		beno	it for Interim Suspension [conviction red d of his/her interim suspension toward the nencement of interim suspension:	e ferral e stipula	cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the ated period of actual suspension. Date of
(5)		Othe	r Conditions:		

mt 5-20-18

e Matter of: ID RYAN GRIFFIN		Case Numb 16-O-16190	per(s): 0	
ncial Conditions	•			
payee(s) listed bel	llow. If the Client Secu he principal amount(s)	listed below, Respond	nt, plus interest of 10% per a reimbursed one or more of the ent must also pay restitution	innum) to the he payee(s in to CSF in
amount(s) paid, pl	lus applicable interest	and costs.	Interest Accrues From]
Payee	- Frincipa	II Antiones		1
				-
				-
				1
				4
				-
				_
	· ·			
				-
				_
				_
				_]
		d rectitution and provid	IA ACHOTOMONIONI DEDOT OT DRIVIT	ICHE LO LIIC '
must provide sati	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference of payments	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any	payment schedule set forth bation with each quarterly l n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Roprobation relation of the
Probation not late Installment Restitut Respondent mus must provide sati as otherwise dire probation (or per the payment of re	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any perest, in full.	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the
Probation not late Installment Restitut Respondent must provide sati as otherwise direction (or per	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the
Probation not late nstallment Restitut Respondent mus must provide sati as otherwise dire probation (or per the payment of re	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any perest, in full.	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the
Probation not late Installment Restitut Respondent mus must provide sati as otherwise dire probation (or per the payment of re	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any perest, in full.	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the
Probation not late Installment Restitut Respondent mus must provide sati as otherwise dire probation (or per the payment of re	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any perest, in full.	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the
Probation not late nstallment Restitut Respondent mus must provide sati as otherwise dire probation (or per the payment of re	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any perest, in full.	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the
Probation not late Installment Restitut Respondent mus must provide sati as otherwise dire probation (or per the payment of re	er than tion Payments st pay the above-reference tisfactory proof of paymented by the Office of Friod of reproval), Responsestitution, including int	enced restitution on the nent to the Office of Pro Probation. No later than ondent must make any perest, in full.	payment schedule set forth obation with each quarterly I n 30 days prior to the expira necessary final payment(s)	below. Reprobation relation of the

(Do	not write ab	ove	this line.)
	-		
	L		
			ondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, aining balance is due and payable immediately.
c.	Client F	ınc	Is Certificate
		۱.	If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
			a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of

b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:

as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

- i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,

California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated

- 4. the current balance for such client.
- ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
- iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
- iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held:
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period
 covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the
 Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the
 accountant's certificate described above.
- The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.



d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

DAVID RYAN GRIFFIN

CASE NUMBER:

16-O-16190

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

David Ryan Griffin ("respondent") admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 16-O-16190 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. Between January 4, 2016 and August 26, 2016, respondent deposited funds belonging only to respondent into respondent's client trust account at California Bank & Trust, account number xxxxxx0801 ("CTA") on 11 occasions. The total of the deposits of respondent's personal funds was \$208,255.96.
- 2. During this same period, respondent held \$68,496.24 in earned fees and costs in his CTA. Respondent issued 41 checks, electronic payments or cash withdrawals out of those earned fees and earned costs, totaling the sum of \$68,496.24, for the payment of personal expenses to Capital One, West Publishing, his spouse, and Wells Fargo, among others. At no point during this period did the amount of funds respondent removed from respondent's CTA exceed the amount of personal funds he improperly deposited in his CTA.
- 3. Between January 1, 2016 and August 31, 2016, respondent failed to prepare and maintain a written journal or written ledger for each client for whom he held funds. Respondent also failed to prepare and maintain the monthly reconciliations for the written journals, written client ledgers, and bank statements for respondent's CTA.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 4. By depositing funds belonging solely to respondent into respondent's CTA on 11 occasions between January 4, 2016 and August 26, 2016, respondent improperly deposited personal funds in trust, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).
- 5. By issuing checks, electronic payments and cash withdrawals from his CTA for the payment of personal expenses using earned fees and/or earned costs on 41 occasions between January 4, 2016 and August 26, 2016, respondent commingled personal funds in trust, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).
- 6. By failing to prepare and maintain a written journal, written ledgers for each client, and the monthly reconciliation for the written journal, written client ledgers, and bank statements for respondent's CTA between January 1, 2016 and August 31, 2016, respondent failed to maintain

WT :-30-14

complete records of all funds, securities, and other properties of clients coming into the possession of respondent, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Wrongdoing (Std. 1.5(b)): Between January 1, 2016 and August 31, 2016, respondent repeatedly deposited personal funds in his CTA, paid or withdrew funds from his CTA to pay for personal expenses, and failed to maintain trust account records, which constitute multiple acts of misconduct.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline: Respondent has been a member of the State Bar since December 21, 1977 and had no prior record of discipline at the time the misconduct began in January 2016, and therefore, is entitled to significant mitigation. (See *Friedman v. State* Bar (1990) 50 Cal.3d 235, 242 [20 years in the practice of law without discipline is afforded significant weight in mitigation].)

Prefiling Stipulation: By entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged misconduct and is entitled to mitigation for recognition of wrongdoing and saving the State Bar significant resources and time. (See *Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability]; *In the Matter of Spaith* (Review Dept. 1996) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 511, 521 [where the attorney's stipulation to facts and culpability was held to be a mitigating circumstance].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the Standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the Standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the

member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, respondent committed three acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed."

The most severe sanction applicable to respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.2(a), which applies to respondent's two violations of rule 4-100(A). Standard 2.2(a) provides that an actual suspension of 90 days is appropriate for commingling funds in a client trust account in violation of rule 4-100(A). Respondent committed multiple acts of misconduct over eight months by depositing personal funds in his client trust account, paying personal expenses using those funds, and failing to maintain records of client funds in his client trust account.

In evaluating respondent's misconduct and assessing the level of discipline, Standard 1.7(c) provides that mitigating circumstances should be considered alone and in balance with any aggravating circumstances. If the net effect demonstrates a lesser sanction is appropriate to fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, it is appropriate to impose or recommend a lesser sanction than what is otherwise specified in a given standard. On balance, a lesser sanction is appropriate in cases of minor misconduct, where there is little or no injury to a client, the public, the legal system, or the profession, and where the record demonstrates that the member is willing and able to conform his behavior to ethical responsibilities in the future. (See Standard 1.7(c).) Here, where respondent has significant mitigation for lack of prior discipline in 38 years of practice and a prefiling stipulation, and there is no evidence of client harm, deviation from standard 2.2(a) is appropriate. (See Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5 [stating clear reasons for departing from standards helpful to Supreme Court and member being disciplined].)

Considering the above, the appropriate level of discipline is a one-year suspension, stayed, with a two-year probation on the condition of a 30-day actual suspension and other standard conditions, which include completing State Bar Ethics School and Client Trust Accounting School, as well as providing a client funds certificate with each quarterly report. This will prevent future misconduct and serve the purposes of attorney discipline, which include protection of the public, the courts, and the legal profession.

Case law also supports a 30-day actual suspension. In Kelly v. State Bar (1991) 53 Cal. 3d 509, Kelly committed misconduct in two client matters in which he commingled client funds, failed to deposit client funds in trust, misappropriated client funds, and failed to promptly pay out client funds. In mitigation, Kelly had no prior discipline in 13 years of practice at the time of the misconduct, and his actions immediately after the misconduct occurred suggested an absence of deceit or wrongful intent. The Supreme Court cited no factors cited in aggravation, and relied heavily on the absence of deceit, the fact that the resulting harm was not significant, and the absence of evidence suggesting a wrongful intent, and imposed a three-year suspension, stayed, and three-year probation with conditions including a 120-day actual suspension.

Respondent's misconduct here is less severe than Kelly's misconduct because this respondent's misconduct is limited to a single non-client matter of commingling with no misappropriation of client funds. Respondent is also entitled to significantly greater mitigation than *Kelly*, given respondent's 38 years of practice without discipline and lack of harm. Also, while this respondent agreed to a prefiling stipulation, Kelly appealed his matter to the Supreme Court.

WT 5.30-18

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of April 25, 2018, the discipline costs in this matter are \$3,215. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MINIMUM CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION ("MCLE") CREDIT

Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School or Client Trust Accounting School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(AT 5-30-18

(Do not write above this line.)					
In the Matter of: DAVID RYAN GRIFFIN	Case number(s): 16-O-16190				

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

O(e-13-18	/\/_// \/	David R. Griffin	
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name	
6-19-18		Anthony Radogna	
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name	
6.19.18	Mark	Charles T. Calix	
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name	

	pove this line.)	
In the Matte DAVID R	er of: YAN GRIFFIN	Case Number(s): 16-O-16190
	ACTUA	L SUSPENSION ORDER
inding the s equested di	stipulation to be fair to the parties an ismissal of counts/charges, if any, is	nd that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the GRANTED without prejudice, and:
	The stipulated facts and disposition Supreme Court.	on are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and disposition DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED	on are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
rithin 15 da tipulation. (f the Supr e	ys after service of this order, is gran See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Pro	roved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved ocedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective of 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
court.)	26, ∂0/8	Judge of the State Bar Court

WT 5.30-18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 26, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ANTHONY P. RADOGNA LAW OFFICES OF ANTHONY RADOGNA 1 PARK PLZ STE 600 IRVINE, CA 92614 - 5987

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Charles T. Calix, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on June 26, 2018.

Elizabeth Alvarez Court Specialist State Bar Court