State Bar Court of California 🔲 ORIGINAL **Hearing Department** Los Angeles **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel for the State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 16-O-17418-CV Abrahim M. Bagheri **Deputy Trial Counsel** PUBLIC MATTER 845 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 FILED (213) 765-1216 JUL 12 2018 Bar # 294113 STATE BAR COURT In Pro Per Respondent CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES Anton Bru Dragan 425 E Arrow Hwy # 831 Glendora, CA 91740-5607 (888) 339-7863 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 269405 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: ANTON BRU DRAGAN **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 269405

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

- Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 8, 2010.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 1.7 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."



(Respondent)

(Do	not writ	e above this line.)			
(5)		nclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also inclu	ided under "Conclusions of		
(6)		parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of disciple pporting Authority."	line under the heading		
(7)		more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been a ding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for crimin			
(8)		Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. It is recommended that (check one option only):			
		Costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Profess and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code segudgment. Unless the time for payment of discipline costs is extended pursu section 6086.10, costs assessed against a member who is actually suspend as a condition of reinstatement or return to active status.	ction 6140.7 and as a money Jant to subdivision (c) of		
		Costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Profess and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code seguingment. SELECT ONE of the costs must be paid with Respondent of the following years:	ction 6140.7 and as a money		
		If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance will be due and paya			
		Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partia	al Waiver of Costs."		
		Costs are entirely waived.			
i	B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.				
(1)		Prior record of discipline:			
	(a)	State Bar Court case # of prior case:			
	(b)	Date prior discipline effective:			
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:			
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline:			
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space pr	rovided below.		
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest by, or followed by bad faith.	t, intentional, or surrounded		
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed	ed by, misrepresentation.		
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by,	concealment.		

(Do n	ot write	e above this line.)
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.
(6)		Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.
(7)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(8)	\boxtimes	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice. (See page 14)
(9)	\boxtimes	Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of Respondent's misconduct. (See page 14)
(10)		Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of Respondent's misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
(11)	\boxtimes	Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. (See page 13)
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:
	•	ating Circumstances [Standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of Respondent's misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of Respondent's misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced Respondent.
(7) ⁻		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.

(Do no	ot write	above this line.)		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by Respondent, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond Respondent's control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in Respondent's personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of Respondent's misconduct.		
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.		
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.		
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances:		
	Pre	filing Stipulation, see page 14.		
D. R	eco	mmended Discipline:		
(1)	\boxtimes	Actual Suspension:		
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for one year , the execution of that suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year with the following conditions.		
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of the period of Respondent's probation. 		
(2)		Actual Suspension "And Until" Rehabilitation:		
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for , the execution of that suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for with the following conditions.		
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first of Respondent's probation and until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of Respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).) 		
(3)		Actual Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Single Payee) and Rehabilitation:		
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for , the execution of that suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for with the following conditions.		
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first of Respondent's probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until both of the following requirements are satisfied: 		

(Do r	not write	above this	line.)					
			Respondent makes restitution to year from (or reimburses the Clie Fund to such payee, in accordance with	ent Security Fund to the ex Business and Professions	plus 10 percent interest per tent of any payment from the s Code section 6140.5) and			
		b.	furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Respondent provides proof to the State practice, and present learning and abilit tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof.	Bar Court of Respondent's y in the general law. (Rule	s rehabilitation, fitness to es Proc. of State Bar,			
(4)		Actual Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Multiple Payees) and Rehabilitation:						
			ndent is suspended from the practice of lass	aw for , the executio with the following condit	n of that suspension is stayed ions.			
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first Respondent's probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until both of the followed requirements are satisfied: 						
		a .	plus 10 percent interest per of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the Code section 6140.5):					
			Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From			
			, 4,00					
		-						
			and the first of the second se					
		b.	Respondent provides proof to the State practice, and present learning and abilit Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Miscon	y in the general law. (Rule				
			Sids. for Ally. Satistions for Froi. Miscon	induct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)				
(5)			Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Sement:	Single Payee) with Condi	tional Std. 1.2(c)(1)			
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for , the execution of that suspension is and Respondent is placed on probation for with the following conditions.						
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum for the first Respondent's probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following rec satisfied: 						
		а.		ent Security Fund to the ex Business and Professions				

b. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer, Respondent must provide proof to the State Bar Court of Respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability

in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).) (6) Actual Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Multiple Frequirement: Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for and Respondent is placed on probation for with the Respondent must be suspended from the practice of	, the execution to the condition to the Office of the condition of the Office of Fund to the extended of the extended to the e	nditional Std. 1.2(c)(1) n of that suspension is stayed, tions. m for the first of the following requirements are plus 10 percent interest per of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the				
Requirement: Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for and Respondent is placed on probation for with the	, the execution following conditions a minimular suspended untile principal amount to the Office or Fund to the extension to	n of that suspension is stayed, tions. m for the first of the following requirements are plus 10 percent interest per of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the				
and Respondent is placed on probation for with the	e following condit law for a minimu suspended until principal amount ition to the Office Fund to the ext	m for the first of the following requirements are plus 10 percent interest per of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the				
Pospondent must be suspended from the practice of	principal amount tion to the Office	plus 10 percent interest per of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the				
Respondent must be suspended from the practice of Respondent's probation, and Respondent will remain satisfied:	ition to the Office Fund to the extension	e of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the				
 Respondent must make restitution, including the year (and furnish satisfactory proof of such restitution following payees (or reimburse the Client Security Fund to such payee in accordance with Business 						
Payee Prince	ipal Amount	Interest Accrues From				
To delivery						
b. If Respondent remains suspended for two years of State Bar Court of Respondent's rehabilitation, fit in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. I Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)	ness to practice, V, Stds. for Atty.	and present learning and ability				
(7) Actual Suspension with Credit for Interim Suspension):					
Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for and Respondent is placed on probation for with the	Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for , the execution of that suspension is stayed					
 Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for for the period of interim suspension which commence 		of probation (with credit given				
E. Additional Conditions of Probation:						
order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must (Conduct (Rules of Professional Conduct) and Business and 6103 through 6126, and (2) provide a declaration, under pe	tional Conditions of Probation: Review Rules of Professional Conduct: Within 30 days after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must (1) read the California Rules of Professional Conduct (Rules of Professional Conduct) and Business and Professions Code sections 6067, 6068, and 6103 through 6126, and (2) provide a declaration, under penalty of perjury, attesting to Respondent's compliance with this requirement, to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles (Office of Probation)					

- (2) Comply with State Bar Act, Rules of Professional Conduct, and Probation Conditions: Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of Respondent's probation.
- (3) Maintain Valid Official Membership Address and Other Required Contact Information: Within 30 days after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must make certain that the State Bar Attorney Regulation and Consumer Resources Office (ARCR) has Respondent's current office address, email address, and telephone number. If Respondent does not maintain an office, Respondent must provide the mailing address, email address, and telephone number to be used for State Bar purposes. Respondent must report, in writing, any change in the above information to ARCR, within ten (10) days after such change, in the manner required by that office.
- (4) Meet and Cooperate with Office of Probation: Within 15 days after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation case specialist to discuss the terms and conditions of Respondent's discipline and, within 30 days after the effective date of the court's order, must participate in such meeting. Unless otherwise instructed by the Office of Probation, Respondent may meet with the probation case specialist in person or by telephone. During the probation period, Respondent must promptly meet with representatives of the Office of Probation as requested by it and, subject to the assertion of applicable privileges, must fully, promptly, and truthfully answer any inquiries by it and provide to it any other information requested by it.
- (5) State Bar Court Retains Jurisdiction/Appear Before and Cooperate with State Bar Court: During Respondent's probation period, the State Bar Court retains jurisdiction over Respondent to address issues concerning compliance with probation conditions. During this period, Respondent must appear before the State Bar Court as required by the court or by the Office of Probation after written notice mailed to Respondent's official membership address, as provided above. Subject to the assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must fully, promptly, and truthfully answer any inquiries by the court and must provide any other information the court requests.
- (6) X Quarterly and Final Reports:
 - a. Deadlines for Reports. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation no later than each January 10 (covering October 1 through December 31 of the prior year), April 10 (covering January 1 through March 31), July 10 (covering April 1 through June 30), and October 10 (covering July 1 through September 30) within the period of probation. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date and cover the extended deadline. In addition to all quarterly reports, Respondent must submit a final report no earlier than ten (10) days before the last day of the probation period and no later than the last day of the probation period.
 - b. Contents of Reports. Respondent must answer, under penalty of perjury, all inquiries contained in the quarterly report form provided by the Office of Probation, including stating whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act and the Rules of Professional Conduct during the applicable quarter or period. All reports must be: (1) submitted on the form provided by the Office of Probation; (2) signed and dated after the completion of the period for which the report is being submitted (except for the final report); (3) filled out completely and signed under penalty of perjury; and (4) submitted to the Office of Probation on or before each report's due date.
 - c. Submission of Reports. All reports must be submitted by: (1) fax or email to the Office of Probation; (2) personal delivery to the Office of Probation; (3) certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Office of Probation (postmarked on or before the due date); or (4) other tracked-service provider, such as Federal Express or United Parcel Service, etc. (physically delivered to such provider on or before the due date).
 - d. Proof of Compliance. Respondent is directed to maintain proof of Respondent's compliance with the above requirements for each such report for a minimum of one year after either the period of probation

or the period of Respondent's actual suspension has ended, whichever is longer. Respondent is required to present such proof upon request by the State Bar, the Office of Probation, or the State Bar Court.

State Bar Ethics School: Within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing (7)discipline in this matter. Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending this session. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Ethics School after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition. State Bar Ethics School Not Recommended: It is not recommended that Respondent be ordered to (8)attend the State Bar Ethics School because State Bar Client Trust Accounting School: Within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court (9)order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Client Trust Accounting School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending this session. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Client Trust Accounting School after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition. (10) Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) Courses – California Legal Ethics [Alternative to State Bar Ethics School for Out-of-State Residents1: Because Respondent resides outside of after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this California, within matter, Respondent must either submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session or, in the alternative, complete hours of California Minimum Continuing Legal Education-approved participatory activity in California legal ethics and provide proof of such completion to the Office of Probation. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for this activity. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Ethics School or the hours of legal education described above, completed after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition. (11) Criminal Probation: Respondent must comply with all probation conditions imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must report such compliance under penalty of perjury in all quarterly and final reports submitted to the Office of Probation covering any portion of the period of the criminal probation. In each quarterly and final report, if Respondent has an assigned criminal probation officer, Respondent must provide the name and current contact information for that criminal probation officer. If the criminal probation was successfully completed during the period covered by a quarterly or final report, that fact must be reported by Respondent in such report and satisfactory evidence of such fact must be provided with it. If, at any time before or during the period of probation, Respondent's criminal probation is revoked. Respondent is sanctioned by the criminal court, or Respondent's status is otherwise changed due to any alleged violation of the criminal probation conditions by Respondent, Respondent must submit the criminal court records regarding any such action with Respondent's next quarterly or final report. (12) Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE): Within after the effective date of the Supreme hour(s) of California Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must complete Minimum Continuing Legal Education-approved participatory activity in SELECT ONE and must

provide proof of such completion to the Office of Probation. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for this activity. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the hours of legal education described above, completed after the

(Do n	ot write	above	this line.)	
		Res	of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, pondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with condition.	
(13)		Othe	er: Respondent must also comply with the following additional conditions of probation:	
(14)		Proof of Compliance with Rule 9.20 Obligations: Respondent is directed to maintain, for a minimum of one year after commencement of probation, proof of compliance with the Supreme Court's order that Respondent comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, subdivisions (a) and (c). Such proof must include: the names and addresses of all individuals and entities to whom Respondent sent notification pursuant to rule 9.20; a copy of each notification letter sent to each recipient; the original receipt or postal authority tracking document for each notification sent; the originals of all returned receipts and notifications of non-delivery; and a copy of the completed compliance affidavit filed by Respondent with the State Bar Court. Respondent is required to present such proof upon request by the State Bar, the Office of Probation, or the State Bar Court.		
(15)		The f	following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:	
			Financial Conditions	
			Substance Abuse Conditions	
matte	er. A	the e	robation will commence on the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this expiration of the probation period, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.	
F. C	ther	Rec	quirements Negotiated by the Parties (Not Probation Conditions):	
(1)		Sus adn Sup sus Offi Cou exa this	Itistate Professional Responsibility Examination Within One Year or During Period of Actual spension: Respondent must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ministered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners within one year after the effective date of the preme Court order imposing discipline in this matter or during the period of Respondent's actual spension, whichever is longer, and to provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's lice of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of curt, rule 9.10(b).) If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of the taking and passage of the above amination after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in a matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to apply with this requirement.	
(2)		rec	Itistate Professional Responsibility Examination Requirement Not Recommended: It is not ommended that Respondent be ordered to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility amination because	
(3)		Rul and	lifornia Rules of Court, Rule 9.20: Respondent must comply with the requirements of California es of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 d 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this tter. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.	
		repr not Res date	purposes of compliance with rule 9.20(a), the operative date for identification of "clients being resented in pending matters" and others to be notified is the filing date of the Supreme Court order, any later "effective" date of the order. (<i>Athearn v. State Bar</i> (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45.) Further, spondent is required to file a rule 9.20(c) affidavit even if Respondent has no clients to notify on the e the Supreme Court filed its order in this proceeding. (<i>Powers v. State Bar</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 337, i.) In addition to being punished as a crime or contempt, an attorney's failure to comply with rule 9.20	

<u>(Do n</u>	ot write	above this line.)
		is, inter alia, cause for disbarment, suspension, revocation of any pending disciplinary probation, and denial of an application for reinstatement after disbarment. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)
(4)		California Rules of Court, Rule 9.20 – Conditional Requirement: If Respondent remains suspended for 90 days or longer, Respondent must comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.
		For purposes of compliance with rule 9.20(a), the operative date for identification of "clients being represented in pending matters" and others to be notified is the filing date of the Supreme Court order, not any later "effective" date of the order. (<i>Athearn v. State Bar</i> (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45.) Further, Respondent is required to file a rule 9.20(c) affidavit even if Respondent has no clients to notify on the date the Supreme Court filed its order in this proceeding. (<i>Powers v. State Bar</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 337, 341.) In addition to being punished as a crime or contempt, an attorney's failure to comply with rule 9.20 is, inter alia, cause for disbarment, suspension, revocation of any pending disciplinary probation, and denial of an application for reinstatement after disbarment. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)
(5)		California Rules of Court, Rule 9.20, Requirement Not Recommended: It is not recommended that Respondent be ordered to comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, because
(6)		Other Requirements: It is further recommended that Respondent be ordered to comply with the following additional requirements:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ANTON BRU DRAGAN

CASE NUMBER:

16-O-17418-CV

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 16-O-17418 (Complainant: Matilde Martinez)

FACTS:

- 1. On October 10, 2013, Matilde Martinez ("Ms. Martinez") and her two family members hired respondent to represent them in a civil action involving personal injuries resulting from an automobile accident on October 7, 2013 ("civil action").
 - 2. Respondent failed to obtain conflict waivers from Ms. Martinez and her family members.
- 3. Respondent entered into a fee agreement with Ms. Martinez in which Ms. Martinez agreed to pay respondent one third of the gross amounts recovered plus costs if the civil action settled without filing a lawsuit or demand for arbitration.
- 4. On October 7, 2015, the day on which the statute of limitations was set to expire, respondent settled the civil action with InterInsurance Exchange of the Automobile Club ("AAA"), the insurer for the at-fault driver involved in the October 7, 2013 accident.
- 5. In order to expedite settlement, respondent agreed to have AAA name the Department of Health Care Services ("DHCS") as a payee on the settlement check despite DHCS not having a lien on the settlement proceeds, despite Ms. Martinez not having any Med-Cal paid services, and despite respondent's failure to open his client's case with DHCS.
- 6. On October 12, 2015, AAA issued a settlement check for \$8,000 payable to the order of "Law Offices of Anton Dragan & Matilde Martinez & Department of Health Care Services" and mailed it to "Law Offices of Anton Dragan, P.O. Box 11530, Santa Ana, CA 92711-1530."
 - 7. Respondent received the October 12, 2015 check from AAA.
- 8. Between October 2015 and April 2016, Ms. Martinez's daughter, on Ms. Martinez's behalf, called respondent numerous times to ask for the status of Ms. Martinez's civil action.
 - 9. Respondent received the calls but did not respond to them.

- 10. On April 7, 2016, the October 12, 2015 settlement check lapsed and respondent still had made no effort to open Ms. Martinez's case with DHCS.
- 11. On April 10, 2016, Ms. Martinez's daughter emailed respondent to ask him to return the telephone messages she had left for respondent in which she inquired about the status of her mother's case.
 - 12. Respondent received the April 10, 2016 email but did not respond to it.
- 13. On August 11, 2016, Ms. Martinez's daughter left a voice message for respondent inquiring about the status of her mother' case, but he failed to return her telephone call.
 - 14. On October 27, 2016, Ms. Martinez filed a State Bar complaint against respondent.
- 15. On December 9, 2016, the State Bar mailed an investigative letter to respondent requesting his response to Ms. Martinez's State Bar complaint.
- 16. On January 9, 2017, respondent called AAA and asked for the settlement check to be reissued without DHCS named as a payee, but AAA informed respondent that it would only do so if AAA received a statement ("no lien letter") from DHCS indicating whether it had a lien on Ms. Martinez's settlement funds.
- 17. From January 9, 2017 to February 12, 2017, respondent failed to contact DHCS to open Ms. Martinez's case with DHCS which prevented DHCS from determining whether it had a lien on the settlement funds.
- 18. On January 11, 2017, respondent mailed a letter to the State Bar in which he stated that he would provide monthly or bi-weekly updates to Ms. Martinez concerning the progress of her case.
- 19. On January 25, 2017, AAA mailed respondent a reissued settlement check on which DHCS remained named as a payee.
 - 20. Respondent received the reissued check.
- 21. On January 27, 2017, respondent emailed Ms. Martinez to inform her that the reissued check needed to be endorsed by DHCS.
 - 22. On February 13, 2017, respondent contacted DHCS to open Ms. Martinez's case with DHCS.
- 23. On May 4, 2017, respondent informed DHCS that Ms. Martinez did not have any Medi-Cal paid services.
- 24. On June 22, 2017, DHCS mailed a no-lien letter to respondent in which DHCS informed him that it did not find any Medi-Cal paid services for Ms. Martinez's injury.
- 25. From June 22, 2017 to July 25, 2017, respondent failed to provide the June 22, 2017 no-lien letter to AAA.
 - 26. On July 25, 2017, respondent allowed the January 25, 2017 settlement check to lapse.

- 27. On July 26, 2017, respondent called AAA to request that it reissue a third \$8,000 settlement check without DHCS named as payee, but AAA advised respondent that he needed to provide AAA with a no-lien letter from DHCS before it would remove DHCS' name from the check.
- 28. On July 26, 2017 respondent faxed the no-lien letter to AAA but he failed to follow up with AAA to ensure that it received his letter.
- 29. From July 26, 2017 to April 2018, respondent failed to ensure AAA's receipt of the no-lien letter; communicate with AAA to determine the status of Ms. Martinez's case; or provide Ms. Martinez with the status of her case.
- 30. In May 2018, respondent communicated with AAA and obtained a reissued settlement check without DHCS named as a payee; resolved and reduced an outstanding medical lien for Ms. Martinez; communicated with Ms. Martinez's daughter regarding Ms. Martinez's settlement funds; and deposited the settlement funds into his client trust account.
- 31. On June 1, 2018, respondent mailed a check in the amount of \$4,600.01 (including interest) to Ms. Martinez as payment of her portion of settlement funds.
 - 32. On June 4, 2018, Ms. Martinez received a check in the amount of \$4,600.01 from respondent.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 33. By failing to disburse Ms. Martinez's settlement for over two (2) years and failing to take steps to obtain California Department of Health Care Services' ("DHCS") signature on a settlement check or obtain the proper release or waiver from DHCS, respondent intentionally failed to perform with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).
- 34. By failing to promptly respond to telephonic and emailed reasonable status inquiries made by Ms. Martinez or by her daughter on Ms. Martinez's behalf, between October 2015 and April 2016, that respondent received in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).
- 35. By failing to inform Ms. Martinez that respondent allowed two settlement checks, dated October 12, 2015 and January 25, 2017, to lapse, respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).
- 36. By accepting joint representation of three clients whose interests potentially conflicted, failing to inform the clients of the relevant circumstances and of the actual and reasonably foreseeable adverse consequences resulting from their joint representation, and failing to obtain the written consent of each client, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-310(C)(1).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (See Std 1.5(b)): Respondent committed multiple acts of misconduct including failure to respond promptly to reasonable status inquiries of his client, failure to perform with competence, and failure to avoid representation of adverse interests.

Significant Harm to Client (See Std 1.5(j)): Respondent's failure to pay his client her portion of settlement funds caused her financial harm. Respondent's repeated failure to perform with competence resulted in an effective abandonment of his client which deprived her of settlement funds for over two years.

Indifference Towards Rectification/Atonement (Std. 1.5(k)): Respondent was indifferent towards rectification because even after his client filed a State Bar complaint, he still waited 18 months to resolve his client's case and provide her with the settlement funds. Additionally, in his January 11, 2017 response to the State Bar's December 9, 2016 investigative letter, respondent promised to provide his client with progress updates on a monthly or bi-weekly basis; however, from October 27, 2016 to April 2018, respondent only communicated with his client once, on January 27, 2017.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Pretrial Stipulation: By entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged his misconduct and is entitled to mitigation for saving the State Bar significant resources and time. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts, and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct, and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed." The most severe sanction applicable to respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.7(c) which provides

that suspension or reproval is the presumed sanction for performance, communication, or withdrawal violations that are limited in scope or time. In this single client matter, respondent repeatedly and recklessly failed to perform with competence from the inception of the representation by failing to take any steps to work on his client's matter for almost two years, waiting until the day before the statute of limitations was set to expire to negotiate settlement, repeatedly failing to open a DHCS case, and failing to send a no-lien letter to AAA. In addition, respondent failed to obtain conflict waivers from his joint clients and repeatedly failed to respond to reasonable email and telephonic status inquires made on his client's behalf. Respondent has no appreciable mitigation, save for pretrial stipulation which is far outweighed by several aggravating factors including significant harm to his client. Therefore, given the gravity of the harm to his client and his extensive misconduct, a 30-day actual suspension is necessary to fulfill the purposes of attorney discipline set forth in Standard 1.1.

Case law is in accord. In *Bach v State Bar* (1991) 52 Cal.3d 1201, an attorney with no prior discipline represented a client in a single matter in which he failed to perform competently, failed to communicate, failed to properly withdraw, failed to refund unearned fees, and failed to respond to written inquiries from a State Bar investigator regarding the matter. The Supreme Court imposed discipline consisting of one year of probation with various terms and conditions including 30 days of actual suspension.

Like Bach, respondent failed to perform competently and failed to communicate with his client in a single client matter. Although respondent is not charged with a failure to cooperate or to refund unearned fees, his misconduct is as extensive as Bach's misconduct. Respondent failed to obtain a conflict waiver from his three clients who had potentially adverse interests, failed to respond to numerous reasonable email and telephonic inquires made on the client's behalf over the course of several months, failed to work on his client's matter for approximately two years, waited until the last day on which the statute of limitations was set to expire to negotiate a settlement, and failed to inform his client that he failed to remove DHCS as a payee on his client's settlement check over the course of approximately two years.

On balance, and in light of the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, a 30-day actual suspension is appropriate to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession; to maintain high professional standards by attorneys; and to preserve public confidence in the legal profession.

DISMISSALS.

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss Count Four in the interest of justice:

Case No.	Count	Alleged Violation
16-O-17418	Four	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(4)

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of July 3, 2018, the discipline costs in this matter are approximately \$3,857. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MINIMUM CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION ("MCLE") CREDIT

Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of: State Bar Ethics School as a condition of his suspension. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

In the Matter of: ANTON BRU DRAG	TAN	Case Number(s): 16-O-17418-CV
ANTON BRO DIVIN	J. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	10-0-17410-0
	SIGNATURE O	F THE PARTIES
ecitations and each of t	he terms and conditions of this Sti	applicable, signify their agreement with each of the pulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.
by their signatures below ecitations and each of the $7/7/20/8$	he terms and conditions of this Sti	applicable, signify their agreement with each of the pulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition. Anton Bru Dragan
ecitations and each of to $7/7/20/8$	he terms and conditions of this Sti	pulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.
ecitations and each of the ecitations are expected as the ecitation of the ecitation and each of the ecitation and ecitatio	he terms and conditions of this Sti	Anton Bru Dragan Print Name
ecitations and each of t	he terms and conditions of this Sti	Anton Bru Dragan Print Name Print Name Abrahim Bagheri

(Do not write a	above this line.)	
In the Mat	ter of: BRU DRAGAN	Case Number(s): 16-O-17418-CV
L	ACTUA	AL SUSPENSION ORDER
Finding the requested d	stipulation to be fair to the parties ar lismissal of counts/charges, if any, is	nd that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the s GRANTED without prejudice, and:
X	The stipulated facts and disposition Supreme Court.	on are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and disposition	on are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the D to the Supreme Court.
Ø	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
within 15 day stipulation. (S date of the S	/s after service of this order, is grant See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.	oved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved .58(E) & (F).) The effective date of this disposition is the effective nally 30 days after the filed date of the Supreme Court order.
	11/18	M. Espanon
Date		DONALD F. MILES Judge of the State Bar Court

(Effective July 1, 2018)

Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on July 12, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ANTON B. DRAGAN 425 E ARROW HWY # 831 GLENDORA, CA 91740 - 5607

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ABRAHIM M. BAGHERI, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on July 12, 2018.

Mazie Yip Court Specialist State Bar Court