PUBLIC MATTER STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA 1 OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL FILED MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 INTERIM CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL MIA R. ELLIS, No. 228235 DEC 2 1 2018 3 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ANAND KUMAR, No. 261592 STATE BAR COURT 4 SUPERVISING ATTORNEY **CLERK'S OFFICE** LOS ANGELES ROY KIM, No. 293815 5 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 845 South Figueroa Street 6 Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 Telephone: (213) 765-1616 7 8 STATE BAR COURT 9 **HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES** 10 11 In the Matter of: Case No. 17-O-03494 12 YELENA A. GUREVICH. NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES 13 No. 269487, 14 A Member of the State Bar. 15 **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!** 16 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE 17 WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL: 18 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; 19 (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW: 20 (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION 21 AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND: (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. 22 SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN 23 ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., 24 RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// kwiktag 🛭

28

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. Yelena A. Gurevich ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on May 18, 2010, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 17-O-03494
Business and Professions Code section 6106.3(a)
[Violation of Civil Code section 2944.6(a)]

2. On or about August 18, 2012, respondent negotiated, arranged or offered to perform a mortgage loan modification or other form of mortgage loan forbearance for a fee paid by a borrower and client, namely Arturo Lara, in advance of any service and thereafter entered into a fee agreement with the client without providing the client, prior to entering into that agreement, the following as a separate written statement, in not less than 14-point bold type, as required by California Civil Code section 2944.6, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code former section 6106.3(a):

It is not necessary to pay a third party to arrange for a loan modification or other form of forbearance from your mortgage lender or servicer. You may call your lender directly to ask for a change in your loan terms. Nonprofit housing counseling agencies also offer these and other forms of borrower assistance free of charge. A list of nonprofit housing counseling agencies approved by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is available from your local HUD office or by visitingwww.hud.gov.

COUNT TWO

Case No. 17-O-03494
Former Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 4-200(A)
[Violation of Civil Code section 2944.7(a)(1) – Illegal Advanced Fee]

3. On or about August 18, 2012, respondent agreed to negotiate, arrange or offered to perform a mortgage loan modification or other form of mortgage loan forbearance for a fee for a client, Arturo Lara, and thereafter, between on or about September 15, 2012 and on or about July 15, 2014, charged and collected an advanced legal fee totaling approximately \$28,750, from the client before respondent had fully performed each and every service respondent had been

contracted to perform or represented to the client that respondent would perform, in violation of California Civil Code section 2944.7, and therefore respondent willfully collected an illegal fee in willful violation of former rule 4-200(A), Rules of Professional Conduct.

COUNT THREE

Case No. 17-O-03494
Former Business & Professions Code section 6106.3
[Violation of Civil Code section 2944.7(a)(1) – Illegal Advanced Fee]

4. On or about August 18, 2012, respondent agreed to negotiate, arrange or offered to perform a mortgage loan modification or other mortgage loan forbearance for a fee for a client, Arturo Lara, and thereafter, between on or about September 15, 2012 and on or about July 15, 2014, charged and collected advanced legal fees totaling approximately \$28,750, from the client before respondent had fully performed each and every service respondent had been contracted to perform or represented to the client that respondent would perform, in violation of Civil Code section 2944.7, and in willful violation of former Business and Professions Code section 6106.3.

COUNT FOUR

Case No. 17-O-03494
Business and Professions Code section 6068(a)
[Violation of Civil Code section 2944.7(a)(1) – Failure to Comply With Laws]

- 5. Respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(a), by failing to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and of this state, as follows:
 - On or about August 18, 2012, respondent agreed to negotiate, arrange or offered to perform a mortgage loan modification or other mortgage loan forbearance for a fee for a client, Arturo Lara, and thereafter, between on or about September 15, 2012 and on or about July 15, 2014, charged and collected advanced legal fees totaling approximately \$28,750 from the client before respondent had fully performed each and every service respondent had been contracted to perform or represented to the client that respondent would perform, in violation of Civil Code section 2944.7(a)(1). By violating Civil Code section 2944.7, respondent failed to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and of this state.

COUNT FIVE

Case No. 17-O-03494
Business and Professions Code section 6106
[Moral Turpitude – Overreaching]

- 6. On or about May 27, 2014, respondent overreached her client, Arturo Lara, by attempting to collect and collecting illegal advanced fees from her client to perform loan modification services or other forms of loan forbearance when respondent knew or was grossly negligent in not knowing it was illegal for her for her to do so, and thereby committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.
- 7. A violation of section 6106 may result from intentional conduct or grossly negligent conduct. Respondent is charged with committing intentional misrepresentation. However, should the evidence at trial demonstrate that respondent committed misrepresentation as a result of gross negligence, respondent must still be found culpable of violating section 6106 because misrepresentation through gross negligence is a lesser included offense of intentional misrepresentation.

///

17 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18 | ///

19 | ///

20 | | ///

 $_{21} \|_{///}$

22 | ///

23 | | ///

24 | | ///

25 11/

26 11/

27 1///

28

DATED: December 21, 2018

NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

Roy Kim

Deputy Trial Counsel



by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 17-O-03494

l, the undersigned, am over the age California, 845-South Figueroa Street, Los A	of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, ngeles, California 90017-2515, declare that:	whose business address	and place of employment is the State Bar of
- on the date shown below, I caus	ed to be served a true copy of the within document describe	ed as follows:	
	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINAR	Y CHARGES	
By Overnight Delivery: (CCP - I am readily familiar with the State By Fax Transmission: (CCP Based on agreement of the parties reported by the fax machine that I to By Electronic Service: (CCP Based on a court order or an agree addresses listed herein below. I did unsuccessful. (for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed Article No.: 1. 9414-7266-2. 9414-7266-	S§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) Ite Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of the Bar of California's practice for collection and processing s§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the document used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained s§ 1010.6) Interment of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission in the receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission ealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los and the process of the proce	of mail, I deposited or place of mail, I deposited or place of correspondence for over the to the persons at the fact on file and available upor sion, I caused the documen, any electronic message on Angeles, addressed addressed to: (see belocated ackage designated by the correspondence of the correspondence	emight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). It numbers listed herein below. No error was a request. ents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic or other indication that the transmission was to: (see below) equested, bw)
Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Ssed to: (see below)	
1. Frances O'Meara	Thompson Coe & O'Meara, LLP 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1200 Los Angeles, CA 9002	Electronic Address	
2. Michael N. Hirota	Thompson Coe & O'Meara, LLP 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90025		
overhight delivery by the United Parcel Service	ear of California's practice for collection and processing of co ce ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of Califor I States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight del	mia's practice, correspond	ence collected and processed by the State Bor of
I am aware that on motion of the pa after date of deposit for mailing contained in t	rty served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation the affidavit.	date or postage meter da	te on the envelope or package is more than one day
I declare under penalty of perjur California, on the date shown below.	y, under the laws of the State of California, that the fo	oregoing is true and con	rrect. Executed at Los Angeles,
DATED: December 21, 2018	Kath	Hi Fullion ii Palacios arant	2

State Bar of California DECLARATION OF SERVICE