

THE STATE BAR COURT

PUBLIC MATTER

HEARING DEPARTMENT

APR 2 7 2000

STATE BAR COURT

CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

1149 S. Hill St., 5th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299

In the Matter of:

Case No(s): 94-C-18520-MSW

GARY W. LEFKOWITZ,

Member No. 77149,

A Member of the State Bar.

FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY:

FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY:

APR 2 7 2000

STATE BAR COURT

CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

NOTICE OF HEARING ON CONVICTION
(Business and Professions Code §§ 6101 and 6102)

#### NOTICE TO RESPONDENT RE: DEFAULT AND INACTIVE ENROLLMENT

If you fail to file an answer to this notice within the time allowed by State Bar Rules, including extensions, or if you fail to appear at the State Bar Court trial, (1) your default shall be entered; (2) you shall be enrolled as an involuntary inactive member of the State Bar and will not be permitted to practice law unless the default is set aside on motion timely made under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; (3) you shall not be permitted to participate further in these proceedings unless your default is set aside; and (4) you shall be subject to additional discipline.

State Bar Rules require you to file your written response to this notice within twenty days after service.

If your default is entered: (1) evidence that would otherwise be inadmissible may be used against you in this proceeding; and (2) you will lose the opportunity to participate further in these proceedings, including presenting evidence in mitigation, countering evidence in aggravation, and moving for reconsideration, unless and until your default is set aside on motion timely made under the prescribed grounds. See rules 200 et seq., and rules 602, 603 and 604, Rules of Procedure for State Bar Court Proceedings; Business and Professions Code section 6102(g).

If your default is entered and the discipline imposed by the Supreme Court in this proceeding includes a period of actual suspension, you will remain suspended from the practice of law for at least the period of time specified by the Supreme Court. In addition, the actual suspension will continue until you have requested, and the State Bar Court has granted, a motion for termination of the actual suspension. As a condition for terminating the actual suspension, the State Bar Court may place you on probation and require you to comply with such conditions of probation as the State Bar Court deems appropriate. See rule 205, Rules of Procedure for State Bar Court Proceedings.

Pursuant to the order of the Review Department, filed April 14, 2000, a true and correct copy of which is

attached as an exhibit hereto, your conviction has been referred to the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court.

You are notified to be present in person or by counsel at a status conference to be set pursuant to rule

1210, Rules of Practice of the State Bar Court.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED, pursuant to rules 600-607, Rules of Procedure for State Bar Court

Proceedings, to be present at such time and place as is set for the first day of trial, in person or by counsel to present

evidence on your behalf, to examine and cross-examine witnesses and otherwise participate in the proceedings

before the State Bar Court.

Your attention is directed to the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar and Rules of Practice of the State Bar

Court, which govern these proceedings. You may locate the Rules of Procedure for State Bar Court Proceedings

on the Internet at http://www.calbar.org/2bar/3sbct/rules/3r-proc.htm and the Rules of Practice of the State Bar

Court on the Internet at http://www.calbar.org/2bar/3sbct/rules/3r-prac.htm. If you do not have access to the

Internet, please contact Linda Cho at (213) 765-1138 to obtain a copy of the rules.

**NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT** 

In the event these proceedings result in public discipline, you may be subject to the payment of costs incurred by the State Bar in the investigation, hearing and review of this matter pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 6086.10 and 6140.7. See also rule 280, et seq., Rules of Procedure of the State

Bar.

Dated: April 27, 2000

Julieta E. Gonzales

Case Administrator

State Bar Court

APR 1 4 2000 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES

94-C-18520

# REVIEW DEPARTMENT OF THE STATE BAR COURT IN BANK

### IN THE MATTER OF GARY W. LEFKOWITZ

A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

As the conviction of respondent **Gary W. Lefkowitz** for violating 18 United States Code 2314 was dismissed, it no longer serves as a basis for interim suspension.

The review department concludes based on the record of conviction that the violation of 18 United States Code section 225, a felony, is a crime involving moral turpitude, an additional basis for the interim suspension imposed by order filed August 24, 1995.

The above entitled matter is referred to the Hearing Department under the authority of subdivision (a) of rule 951, California Rules of Court, for a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed for the violations of 18 United States Code sections 152, 225, 1341, 1343, and 1503; and for a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the Hearing Department finds that the facts and circumstances surrounding the violations of 18 United States Code section 1001 and 26 United States Code section 7206(2), of which respondent was convicted, involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

James W. Obrien Presiding Judge

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 27, 2000, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### NOTICE OF HEARING ON CONVICTION and NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT AND NOTICE OF INITIAL STATUS CONFERENCE both filed April 27, 2000

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by certified mail, No. P 972 516 553, with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

GARY W LEFKOWITZ ESQ BOX 1000-06548-041 OXFORD WI 53952

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

### Murray B. Greenberg, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 27, 2000.

.

Case Administrator (
State Bar Court

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 28, 2000, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

## NOTICE OF HEARING ON CONVICTION and NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT AND NOTICE OF INITIAL STATUS CONFERENCE both filed April 27, 2000

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

GARY W LEFKOWITZ ESQ\* UNIVERSITY DRIVE SW P O BOX 1731 06548-041 WASECA CA 56093

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

### Murray B. Greenberg, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 28, 2000.

\*Courtesy copy only; re-served at Respondent's other address furnished to the Court by Mr. Greenberg.

Julieta E. Gonzales

Case Administrator

State Bar Court