



ORIGINAL

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California		
Hearing Department <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Los Angeles		<input type="checkbox"/> San Francisco
Counsel for the State Bar Shari Sveningson Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213)765-1004 Bar # 195298	Case number(s) 05-0-02292 PUBLIC MATTER NOT FOR PUBLICATION	(for Court's use) <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">FILED</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 18pt; font-weight: bold;">MAR 16 2006</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 12pt;"> STATE BAR COURT CLERKS OFFICE LOS ANGELES </div>
<input type="checkbox"/> Counsel for Respondent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Pro Per. Respondent Darrell L. Johnson 3580 Wilshire Blvd., #1400 Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213)387-0700 Bar # 31740	Submitted to <input type="checkbox"/> assigned judge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> settlement judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING REPROVAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRIVATE <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
In the Matter of Darrell Lee Johnson Bar # 31740 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 6, 1961
(date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 19 pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".

- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances are involved.**

Additional mitigating circumstances:

(Do not write above this line.)

D. Discipline:

- (1) Private reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
- (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
- (b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

or

- (2) Public reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)

E. Conditions Attached to Reproof:

- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproof for a period of One (1) year.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproof, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproof. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than thirty (30) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reprobation.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School ordered. Reason: Respondent has completed Ethics School and other Ethics MLE courses within the last year.
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE") administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reprobation.
- No MPRE ordered. Reason: Not necessary to further the interests of justice.
- (11) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: DARRELL LEE JOHNSON

CASE NUMBER(S): 05-O-02292

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent pleads nolo contendere to the following facts and violations. Respondent completely understands that the plea for nolo contendere shall be considered the same as an admission of the stipulated facts and of his or her culpability of the statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct specified herein.

FACTS

1. On or about September 22, 2003, the Office of Certification of the State Bar of California notified Respondent that he had been enrolled not entitled for non-compliance with the Minimum Continuing Legal Education ("MCLE") requirement of the State Bar, effective September 16, 2003. Respondent received the notice from the Office of Certification.

2. Respondent remained not entitled until June 29, 2005 when he complied with the MCLE requirement and was reinstated to active status. From September 16, 2003 to June 29, 2005, Respondent was not entitled to practice law.

3. From March 26, 2004 through February 9, 2005, Respondent practiced law by filing legal documents on the client's behalf.

4. Prior to being enrolled as Not Entitled to practice law for failure to comply with MCLE requirements, Respondent had actually complied with the MCLE requirements. However, Respondent had provided the State Bar with an incomplete MCLE Compliance card and had not paid the requisite late fee to the State Bar.

LEGAL CONCLUSION

By practicing law during the period when he was enrolled not entitled for MCLE non-compliance, Respondent himself out as practicing or entitled to practice law and actually practiced law when he was not an active member of the State Bar in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 6125 and 6126.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was by letter dated March 15, 2006.

WAIVER OF VARIANCE BETWEEN NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES AND STIPULATED FACTS AND CULPABILITY

The parties waive any variance between the Notice of Disciplinary Charges filed on October 26, 2005 and the facts and/or conclusions of law contained in this stipulation. Additionally, the parties waive the issuance of an amended Notice of Disciplinary Charges. The parties further waive the right to the filing of a Notice of Disciplinary Charges and to a formal hearing on any charge not included in the pending Notice of Disciplinary Charges.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standards 1.4(b), 1.6(b)(ii) and 1.7(a) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, Title IV of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California.

OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES.

The parties dispute what legal conclusions follow from the facts and circumstances.

The Respondent believed in good faith that he had completed the MCLE requirements and State Bar Rules, as actually written by the State Bar, and that State Bar practice did not conform to written State Bar rules relating to procedure for proof of compliance and conditions for suspension.

The Respondent believed from a conversation with a State Bar investigator that the notice of suspension mailed to him had been withdrawn and the matter was over.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of Darrell Lee Johnson	Case number(s): 05-0-02292
---	-------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

Date 3/15/06  Respondent's signature
DARRELL L. JOHNSON
Print name

Date _____ Respondent's Counsel's signature _____ Print name _____
Date 3/15/06  Deputy Trial Counsel's signature
SHARI SVENINGSON
Print name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of Darrell Lee Johnson	Case number(s): 05-0-02292
---	-----------------------------------

ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproof, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.**

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproof may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

3/16/06
Date


Judge of the State Bar Court
ROBERT M. TALCOTT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 16, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- [X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**DARRELL L JOHNSON
3580 WILSHIRE BLVD #1400
LOS ANGELES CA 90010**

- [X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SHARI SVENINGSON, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **March 16, 2006**.



Angela Owens-Carpenter
Case Administrator
State Bar Court