

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA</b><br><br><b>HEARING DEPARTMENT</b><br><br><b>1149 S. Hill St., 5th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90015</b> | FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY:<br><br><div style="text-align: center;"> <b>FILED</b><br/> <b>JUL -2 2010</b> <i>ln</i><br/> <b>STATE BAR COURT</b><br/> <b>CLERK'S OFFICE</b><br/> <b>LOS ANGELES</b> </div> |
| In the Matter of:<br><br><b>JOEL LESLIE</b><br><b>Member No.: 162475</b><br><br>A Member of the State Bar                            | Case No(s):    06-O-15136<br><br><div style="text-align: center;"> <b>MINUTE ORDER</b> </div>   |

**ORDERS:**

**Respondent's Motion for Modification of Order re Relief from Disciplinary Costs**

**GRANTED**

**DENIED**

Matter     off calendar due to \_\_\_\_\_

continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Trial continued to \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.

Briefs due:    Deputy Trial Counsel \_\_\_\_\_,    Respondent \_\_\_\_\_

Parties waive service of order.

Other:

On February 11, 2010, this court granted Respondent's request for additional time to pay disciplinary costs. Respondent had filed an earlier request for such a modification, which motion had been denied as premature. Because of the timing of the second motion and this court's order, Respondent was not returned to active membership as a result of his prior suspension for 30 days until February 11, resulting in an apparent suspension of 41-days, rather than 30 days.

On June 11, 2010, Respondent filed the instant motion for modification of this court's February 11, 2010 order, asking that it include language that it is effective, *nunc pro tunc*, as of January 30, 2010.


On June 23, 2010, the State Bar filed a Statement of Non-Opposition to Respondent's request. As stated by the State Bar, "The State Bar does not object to the modification of the Court's order of February 11, 2010 *nunc pro tunc* to change its effective date to January 31, 2010, or to any earlier date which will result in Respondent's last day of actual suspension to be January 30, 2010, as originally ordered by the California Supreme Court. [¶] If Respondent had made such an effective date part of his original motion, the State Bar would not have objected. The State Bar does not contend that the modification, to reduce the actual suspension back to 30 days, poses any potential of harm to the public or the system of justice."



GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Respondent's motion is GRANTED. This court's order of February 11, 2010, is hereby modified to be made effective, *nunc pro tunc*, as of January 30, 2010.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 1, 2010

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donald F. Miles", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

DONALD F. MILES  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on July 2, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**MINUTE ORDER**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**JOEL LESLIE  
10746 NATIONAL PL  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90034**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**LARRY DeSHA, Enforcement, Los Angeles**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on July 2, 2010.



---

Tammy Cleaver  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court