

(Do not write above this line.)

**State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
San Francisco**

kwiktag®

035 131 813



Counsel For The State Bar Treva R. Stewart 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Bar # 239829	Case Number (s) 08-O-12491	(for Court's use) PUBLIC MATTER FILED <i>KDS</i> SEP 22 2010 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
In Pro Per Respondent Arzhang Bolorian Bar # 188140		
In the Matter Of: Arzhang Bolorian 235 2nd Ave. Apt. 1N New York, New York 10003 Bar # 188140 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 5, 1997**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of ~~12~~¹³ pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

(Do not write above this line.)

- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline.
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order.**
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
 - costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - costs entirely waived

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct. **Respondent failed to acknowledge the wrongfulness and consequences of his misconduct and made no efforts to make atonement to the client.**
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings. **Respondent ceased to communicate with the client prior to the conclusion of the matter for which he had been retained and failed to notify the client of his intent to withdraw from representation.**
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.

- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. **Respondent has shown candor to, and been cooperative with, the State Bar since becoming aware of these proceedings.**
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct. **Respondent's had recently started his own law practice and had not yet fully established a client base. His ability to take on clients was affected by his obligations to provide care, financial support and transportation for his then fiance, who was suffering significant health problems.**
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. **During the relevant time period, respondent's then-fiance was diagnosed with an early second stage brain tumor which required extensive medical treatment. The progression of the disease tolled respondent physically, emotionally and financially.**
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances

D. Discipline:

- (1) **Stayed Suspension:**
- (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **one year**.
- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. and until Respondent does the following:

The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

- (2) **Probation:**

Respondent is placed on probation for a period of **one year**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court)

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (4) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

(Do not write above this line.)

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (5) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (6) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (7) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: **Respondent lives in New York and is unable to attend State Bar Ethics School. As an alternative to State Bar Ethics School, the parties agree that Respondent will complete the following courses: six (6) hours of State Bar approved MCLE courses in legal ethics. Within one year of the effective date of discipline herein, Respondent must provide the Office of Probation with satisfactory proof of participation for the MCLE courses.**
- (8) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.**
- No MPRE recommended. Reason:
- (2) **Other Conditions:**
- SEE STIPULATION ATTACHMENT**

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: Arzhang Bolorian

CASE NUMBER(S): ET AL. 08-O-12491

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

FACTS

1. On June 29, 2007, William Mackey (hereinafter "Mackey") was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol.
2. On July 10, 2007, Mackey employed Respondent to represent him in the criminal matter and the administrative hearing with the Department of Motor Vehicles (hereinafter "DMV"). Respondent charged Mackey a flat fee of \$3,000 for representation through the pretrial stage of the criminal matter and at the DMV administrative hearing.
3. On July 12 and July 17, 2007, Mackey arranged for payment to Respondent of \$1,500, for a total payment of \$3,000.
4. On July 16, 2007, a criminal complaint was filed in the matter *People v. Mackey*, Marin County Municipal Court, case number CR 154530-A.
5. Prior to August 16, 2007, the State Bar notified respondent that he would be ineligible to practice law effective August 16, 2007, if he failed to submit proof of Minimum Continuing Legal Education compliance.
6. Respondent failed to timely submit proof of MCLE compliance and was placed on involuntary inactive status on August 16, 2007. On August 24, 2007, respondent was notified by the State Bar that he was ineligible to practice law.
7. On August 22 and August 24, 2007, respondent communicated with Mackey regarding his DMV hearing.
8. On August 29, 2007, DMV notified respondent that Mackey's DMV hearing was set for December 28, 2007. Respondent received the notice.
9. On September 12, 2007, respondent made a court appearance on behalf of Mackey in the

Page 6

criminal matter.

10. Prior to September 14, 2007, respondent and Mackey agreed that respondent would provide additional information to the DMV regarding a *Pitchess* motion. Mackey requested that respondent provide this information to the DMV because Mackey believed that it would assist with his efforts to retain his driver's license.

11. On September 14, 2007, respondent communicated with the DMV hearing officer requesting a continuance of Mackey's DMV hearing.

12. On September 14, 2007, respondent sent the DMV a letter on "Bolorian Law Firm" letterhead and requested that the DMV continue Mackey's DMV hearing until after Mackey received a ruling on a *Pitchess* motion.

13. On September 18, 2007, the State Bar returned Respondent to active status because he paid his State Bar membership dues and submitted proof of MCLE compliance.

14. Between August 16, 2007 and September 18, 2007, Respondent was not eligible to practice of law because of his failure to comply with his MCLE obligations. Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law and did practice law while he was not entitled to practice law.

15. On October 10, 2007, Respondent filed a motion to suppress evidence, which was set for hearing on December 3, 2007, and a *Pitchess* motion, which was set for hearing on December 17, 2007.

16. On December 3, 2007, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing on his motion to suppress evidence and the court continued the matter to December 10, 2007. It is documented in the Court's Minutes for that Respondent contacted Mr. Mackey prior to the hearing informing him that he was ill on that day and requested that Mr. Mackey inform the Court.

17. On December 10, 2007, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing on Respondent's motion to suppress evidence.

18. On December 12, 2007, the court issued an order requiring Respondent to appear on December 17, 2007 to show cause (hereinafter "OSC") why he should not be held in contempt or sanctioned.

19. On December 17, 2007, Respondent failed to appear for the OSC and for the *Pitchess* Motion.

18, 2008.

21. On December 28, 2007, the DMV extended the time in which Respondent could present additional information to the DMV until January 23, 2008. Respondent received notice that he was required to submit the documentation to the DMV by January 23, 2008.

22. Thereafter, Respondent failed to submit any documentation to the DMV.

23. On January 18, 2008, Respondent appeared at the OSC. The Court accepted the Respondent's reason and explanation for the non-appearances and discharged the OSC. The Court continued the Pitchess motion until February 4, 2008.

24. Between October 2007 and January 2008, Mackey and his wife, Aline Estournes (hereinafter "Estournes"), telephoned Respondent's office repeatedly and left a message each time requesting that Respondent provide them with a status update on Mackey's matter. Respondent received the messages, but failed to respond to them and failed to provide Mackey with a status update on his matter.

25. On February 4, 2008, the Court denied the motion to suppress evidence and the Pitchess motion and set a change of plea hearing to February 20, 2008. Respondent received notice of the February 20, 2008 hearing.

26. On February 20, 2008, Respondent failed to appear at the change of plea hearing. The Court continued the matter to March 5, 2008.

27. On February 28, 2008, the DMV issued its ruling and suspended Mackey's license. The ruling indicated that although Respondent requested and received additional time to submit documentation by January 23, 2008, Respondent failed to provide any additional documentation.

28. In March 2008, Respondent ceased performing services for Mackey and ceased communicating with Mackey. In effect, Respondent withdrew from employment. Respondent failed to obtain the Court's permission prior to withdrawing as counsel.

29. Between March 2008 and April 2008, Mackey and Estournes telephoned Respondent's office repeatedly and left a message each time requesting that Respondent provide them with a status update on Mackey's matter. Respondent received the telephone messages, but failed to respond to them and failed to provide Mackey with a status update on his matter.

30. Between March 2008 and April 2008, Mackey and Estournes sent Respondent several email messages requesting that Respondent provide them with a status update on Mackey's matter. Respondent received the email messages, but failed to respond to them and failed to provide Mackey with a status update on his matter.

31. Prior to April 15, 2008, the court continued the change of hearing plea to April 15, 2008.

32. On April 15, 2008, Mackey appeared in court with a public defender and the Court granted Mackey's request to remove Respondent as counsel of record and substitute in the public defender's office, at Mackey's expense. As a result of Respondent's withdrawal from employment, Mackey was required to employ new counsel at additional expense to complete his matter.

33. On May 19, 2008, Mackey entered into a plea bargain and resolved his criminal matter.

34. Because Respondent did not complete Mackey's case, and Mackey had to incur additional expense, Respondent owes Mackey a refund.

35. On July 25, 2008 and August 8, 2008, a State Bar Investigator sent Respondent letters regarding the complaint made by Mackey regarding Respondent's handling of the criminal matter and DMV administrative hearing. The letters requested that Respondent respond in writing to specified allegations of misconduct being investigated by the State Bar in the Mackey matter. Respondent received the letters, but failed to respond.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. By practicing law when he was not entitled to practice law and by holding himself out as entitled to practice law when he was not entitled to practice law, Respondent failed to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and of this state, in violation of Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "B&P"), sections 6125 and 6126.

2. By failing to make court appearances, failing to provide documentation to the DMV and by ceasing to perform services for Mackey in March 2008, requiring Mackey to employ the public defender to complete his matter, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in violation of rule 3-110(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct (hereinafter "rule" or "rules").

3. By withdrawing from employment in March 2008 without the Marin County Superior

Page 9

Court's permission, Respondent withdrew from employment in a proceeding before a tribunal without its permission in violation of rule 3-700(A)(1).

4. By failing to respond to Mackey's status update requests, Respondent failed to respond promptly to reasonable status inquiries of a client in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal services in violation of B&P 6068(m).

5. By failing to provide a written response to the allegations regarding Respondent's conduct in the Mackey matter or otherwise cooperate in the investigation of this matter, Respondent failed to cooperate in a disciplinary investigation in violation of B&P 6068(i).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(6), was August 26, 2010.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of August 24, 2010, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,654. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Farnham v. State Bar (1976) 17 Cal. 3d 605

Taylor v. State Bar (1974) 11 Cal. 3d 424

In the Matter of Trousil (Rev. Dept. 1990) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 229

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

See Stipulation Form

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

See Stipulation Form

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Not recommended. See Stipulation Form.

In the Matter of
Arzhang Bolorian

Case number(s):
08-O-12491

A Member of the State Bar

Financial Conditions

a. Restitution

- Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From
William Mackey	\$500.00	September 1, 2010

- Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than **30 days after the effective date of discipline as provided by the Supreme Court order in this matter.**

b. Installment Restitution Payments

- Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reprobation), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency

c. Client Funds Certificate

1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
- Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
- i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 1. the name of such client;
 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 1. the name of such account;
 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
- i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School



- Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of Arzhang Bolorian	Case number(s): 08-O-12491
---	--------------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

<u>9/1/2010</u> Date	 Respondent's Signature	<u>Arzhang Bolorian</u> Print Name
<u>N/A</u> Date <u>9/7/10</u> Date	<u></u> Respondent's Counsel Signature Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	<u>N/A</u> Print Name <u>Treva R. Stewart</u> Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of ARZHANG BOLOORIAN SBN 188140	Case Number(s): 08-O-12491
--	--------------------------------------

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

September 22, 2010

Date



Lucy Armendariz
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on September 22, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND
ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

ARZHANG BOLOORIAN
235 2ND AVE APT 1N
NEW YORK, NY 10003

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TREVA STEWART, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on September 22, 2010.



Laine Silber
Case Administrator
State Bar Court