

(Do not write above this line.)

<p>State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles</p>		<p>kwiktag® 018 040 126</p> 
<p>Counsel For The State Bar</p> <p>Ashod Mooradian Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213) 765-1004</p>	<p>Case Number (s) 09-C-15016-RAP</p>	<p>(for Court's use)</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">FILED</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NOV 09 2010 <i>AC</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES</p>
<p>Bar # 194283</p> <p>Counsel For Respondent</p> <p>Arthur L. Margolis MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS, LLP 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039</p>	<p style="font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">PUBLIC MATTER</p>	
<p>Bar # 57703</p> <p>In the Matter Of: VERLYN NADELL JENSEN</p>	<p>Submitted to: Assigned Judge</p> <p>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING</p> <p>PUBLIC REPROVAL</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED</p>	
<p>Bar # 35987</p> <p>A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)</p>		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 5, 1965.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproof)
 - case ineligible for costs (private reproof)
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years:
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
 - costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - costs entirely waived
- (9) The parties understand that:
- (a) A private reproof imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproof was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
 - (b) A private reproof imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
 - (c) A public reproof imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. See Stipulation Attachment, page 9, section "B", paragraph 1.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

None.

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. See Stipulation Attachment, page 9, section "C", paragraph 1.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. See Stipulation Attachment, page 9, section "C", paragraph 2.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. See Stipulation Attachment, page 9, section "C", paragraph 3.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. See Stipulation Attachment, page 9, section "C", paragraph 4.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

None.

D. Discipline:

- (1) **Private reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)**
- (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
- (b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

or

- (2) **Public reproof (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)**

E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:

- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproof for a period of Two (2) years.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproof, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproof. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover

less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent's misconduct does not relate to the practice of law or involve a rule violation.
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.

No MPRE recommended. Reason: The protection of the public and the interests of the Respondent do not require passage of the MPRE in this case. See In the Matter of Respondent G (Review Dept.1992), 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 181.

- (11) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
 - Substance Abuse Conditions
 - Medical Conditions
 - Law Office Management Conditions
 - Financial Conditions

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

(1) ALCOHOLICS ANONYMOUS MEETING CONDITION:

(a) Respondent must attend at least four (4) meetings per month of Alcoholics Anonymous ("AA").

(b) Satisfactory proof of attendance of AA meetings shall include the name of Respondent's sponsor (if Respondent has a sponsor), address, telephone number, and any other contact information (e.g. fax, e-mail, etc.). Respondent is to provide this information to the Office of Probation within ten

days of the effective date of the discipline and within ten days of any change in sponsor and/or the sponsor's address and/or telephone number and/or any other contact information.

(c) Satisfactory proof of attendance of AA meetings shall also include the name of the meeting; the location of the meeting; and the name, address, telephone number, and other contact information (e.g. fax, e-mail, etc.) of the meeting secretary or other representative willing to assist the Office of Probation in confirming Respondent's attendance.

(d) Respondent shall exert all efforts in gaining the assistance of Respondent's sponsor, meeting secretary, or other representative to assist the Office of Probation in confirming Respondent's attendance of AA meetings. Respondent shall provide proof of such efforts to the Office of Probation within ten days of any request for such proof.

(e) It is not satisfactory proof of attendance of AA meetings for Respondent to sign as the verifier of Respondent's proof of attendance.

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: VERLYN NADELL JENSEN

CASE NUMBER(S): 09-C-15016-RAP

A. FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

VERLYN NADELL JENSEN (“Respondent”) admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes.

Facts Supporting Culpability:

1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in California on January 5, 1965, was practicing law at all relevant times involved in this matter and continues to practice law up to the current time.
2. On February 10, 2009, at approximately 4:51 p.m., Respondent was driving his vehicle northbound on Newport Avenue in the County of Orange approaching a red light at the intersection of Foothill Boulevard.
3. At the same time, another vehicle (“Vehicle 2”) containing a driver and two passengers was the first car stopped at the same red light at the intersection of Newport Avenue and Foothill Boulevard waiting to proceed westbound through the intersection and onto Foothill Boulevard.
4. When the traffic signal turned green, Vehicle 2 began proceeding westbound through the intersection but was then struck by Respondent’s vehicle which had failed to stop at the red light for northbound traffic on Newport Avenue.
5. Respondent’s vehicle struck Vehicle 2 with such force that the driver of Vehicle 2, a teenage girl, suffered a broken clavicle among other injuries and had to be transported to a local hospital from the scene.
6. After arrival of the police, Respondent was questioned and was given field sobriety tests and a horizontal gaze nystagmus test which objectively confirmed that Respondent was under the influence of alcohol. In addition, Respondent submitted himself to a breath test which indicated that his blood alcohol content was 0.18%. Ultimately, Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage and causing injury.

7. On March 20, 2009, the Orange County District Attorney filed a felony complaint charging Respondent in Count 1 with a felony violation of Vehicle Code §23153(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs – causing bodily injury to another person] and in Count 2 with a felony violation of Vehicle Code §23153(b) [driving a vehicle with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or more – causing bodily injury to another person]. In addition, a violation of Vehicle Code §23578 [unlawful concentration of alcohol in the blood of 0.15% and more by weight] and an enhancement pursuant to Penal Code §12022.7(a) [great bodily injury on another person who is not an accomplice during commission of offense] were added as allegations to both Count 1 and Count 2.

8. On August 25, 2009, during Respondent's arraignment, Respondent's defense attorney made a motion to reduce Count 1 and Count 2 to misdemeanors, pursuant to Penal Code §17(b). After argument, the Court granted the defense motion and reduced Count 1 and Count 2 to misdemeanors and dismissed all enhancements.

9. Next, during this same August 25, 2009 arraignment, Respondent submitted a written waiver of legal and constitutional rights for guilty plea which was filed with the Court. Then, Respondent pled guilty to Count 1 and 2 with his counsel joining in the waiver and plea.

10. Finally, during this same August 25, 2009 arraignment, the Court suspended the imposition of Respondent's sentence and instead placed him on three years Informal Probation on several terms and conditions including, but not limited to the following:

- A. Not drive a motor vehicle with a measurable amount of alcohol or drugs in blood and submit to a chemical test of blood, breath, or urine on demand of any peace or probation officer;
- B. Serve 365 days in Orange County jail, stayed until November 15, 2009, when Respondent was to report to jail as ordered;
- C. Attend and complete a six month, level two "First Offender Alcohol Program";
- D. Attend and complete the Mother's Against Drunk Driving ("MADD") Victim's Impact Panel;
- E. Pay court fines, fees and assessments, including restitution in the amount as determined and directed by Victim Witness.

11. On November 6, 2009, the Court vacated the jail sentence it imposed on August 25, 2009 and noted that Respondent had enrolled himself into a residential treatment program with National Therapeutic Services Treatment Program in Costa Mesa, California, where he ultimately completed an eight-month program.

12. Respondent contributed \$20,000.00 of his own money, in addition to the amount paid by his insurer, for the purpose of facilitating a settlement of the civil case filed by the driver of Vehicle 2.

Conclusions of Law:

1. The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's misdemeanor conviction for violation of Vehicle Code §23153(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs – causing bodily injury to another person] involved other misconduct warranting discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code, sections 6101 and 6102.

2. The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's misdemeanor conviction for violation of Vehicle Code §23153(b) [driving a vehicle with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or more – causing bodily injury to another person] involved other misconduct warranting discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code, sections 6101 and 6102.

B. FACTS SUPPORTING AGGRAVATION.

1. Respondent's misconduct caused harm to the public because his criminal conduct in driving his vehicle while under the influence resulted in a traffic collision that significantly injured the other driver, a teenage girl, who suffered a broken clavicle among other injuries.¹

C. FACTS SUPPORTING MITIGATION.

1. Respondent has no prior record of discipline, had been admitted to the practice of law in California for over forty-four (44) years when the criminal misconduct herein occurred and the misconduct herein did not arise from acts in his capacity as an attorney.²

2. Respondent has exhibited candor and cooperation with the State Bar of California.³ During the pendency of this matter, Respondent cooperated with the State Bar, informally providing information that assisted the State Bar in its understanding of Respondent's misconduct herein. Finally, Respondent also cooperated in that he has stipulated to facts, conclusions of law and level of discipline.

3. Respondent has expressed remorse to the State Bar for his misconduct and acknowledged his wrongdoing.⁴ The State Bar is satisfied that Respondent's remorse is genuine and is corroborated by Respondent's continued participation in alcohol/drug treatment and counselling programs since his arrest. In sum, Respondent has taken significant and meaningful steps towards ensuring that criminal or ethical misconduct will not recur in the future.

4. Respondent's good character has been attested to by attorneys and non-attorney members of the general community who have known Respondent for at least thirty (30) years and are aware of the full extent of Respondent's misconduct.⁵ In addition, Respondent is a long-time volunteer and steadfast contributor to several charitable organizations, particularly the Boys and Girls Clubs and the Muscular Dystrophy Association, to name a few.

¹ Standard 1.2(b)(iv).

² Standard 1.2(e)(i).

³ Standard 1.2(e)(v).

⁴ Standard 1.2(e)(vii).

⁵ Standard 1.2(e)(vi).

D. AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Applicable Standards:

The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings are the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys; and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession.⁶

Standard 3.4 provides that the final conviction of a member of a crime which does not involve moral turpitude inherently or in the facts and circumstances surrounding the crime's commission but does involve other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction as prescribed under part B of the standards. According to the California Supreme court, the discipline suggested under standard 3.4 "is that discipline 'appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct.'"⁷

Aggravating & Mitigating Circumstances:

Standard 1.2(b) provides for a greater degree of sanction set forth in the standards where aggravating circumstances exist. In this case, pursuant to Standard 1.2(b)(iv), Respondent's misconduct caused harm to the public because his criminal conduct in driving his vehicle while under the influence resulted in a traffic collision that seriously injured the other driver, a teenage girl, who suffered a broken clavicle among other injuries.

Standard 1.2(e) provides for a more lenient degree of sanction than set forth in the standards where mitigating circumstances exist. First, pursuant to Standard 1.2(e)(i), Respondent has no prior record of discipline and had been admitted to the practice of law in California for over forty-four (44) years when the criminal misconduct herein occurred. Second, pursuant to Standard 1.2(e)(v), Respondent has exhibited candor and cooperation with the State Bar of California. During the pendency of this matter, Respondent cooperated with the State Bar, informally providing information that assisted the State Bar in its understanding of Respondent's misconduct herein. Finally, Respondent also cooperated in that he has stipulated to facts, conclusions of law and level of discipline. Third, pursuant to Standard 1.2(e)(vii), Respondent has expressed remorse to the State Bar for his misconduct and acknowledged his wrongdoing. The State Bar is satisfied that Respondent's remorse is genuine and is corroborated by Respondent's continued participation in alcohol/drug treatment and counselling programs since his arrest. In sum, Respondent has taken significant and meaningful steps towards ensuring that criminal or ethical misconduct will not recur in the future. Fourth, pursuant to Standard 1.2(e)(vi), Respondent's good character has been attested to attorneys and non-attorney members of the general community who are aware of the full extent of Respondent's misconduct. In addition, Respondent is a volunteer and contributor to several charitable organizations, such as the Boys and Girls Club and the Muscular Dystrophy Association.

⁶ See Standard 1.3.

⁷ *In re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 487, 498.

Caselaw:

*In re Kelley*⁸ involved an attorney who, had been convicted of driving under the influence in violation of Vehicle Code §23152(b), with a prior conviction for the same offense, and of violating the terms of her probation imposed in the first conviction in violation of Penal Code, §1203.2.⁹ The prior conviction occurred some 31 months before the second conviction.¹⁰ The Supreme Court found that Kelley's two driving under the influence convictions within a 31-month period indicated problems with alcohol abuse.¹¹ "Her repeated criminal conduct, and the circumstances surrounding it, are indications of alcohol abuse that is adversely affecting petitioner's private life. We cannot and should not sit back and wait until petitioner's alcohol abuse problem begins to affect her practice of law."¹² The Supreme Court ordered that attorney Kelley shall receive a public reproof for her misconduct.

In this case, Respondent ultimately pled guilty to two misdemeanors for his driving under the influence in violation of Vehicle Code §23153(a) and (b). Respondent's convictions were similar to the convictions of the attorney in *Kelley*, except that Respondent's criminal conduct resulted in a traffic collision that caused injury to the other driver. On the other hand, unlike the attorney in *Kelley*, Respondent did not have a prior record of conviction for DUI. Further, and as discussed above, Respondent's misconduct is much more mitigated than the misconduct found in *Kelley*.

Therefore, in weighing Respondent's misconduct described herein with due consideration for the aggravating and mitigating circumstances acknowledged, the level of discipline consistent with the applicable standards and caselaw is a public reproof.

E. PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to on page two, paragraph A. (7) was October 15, 2010.

F. COSTS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of October 15, 2010, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,530.00. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

⁸ *Id.* at p. 496.

⁹ *Id.* at pp. 491-492.

¹⁰ *Id.* at p. 492.

¹¹ *Id.* at p. 495.

¹² *Id.*


(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of VERLYN NADELL JENSEN	Case number(s): 09-C-15016-RAP
---	-----------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES


By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

Nov 1, 2010
Date


Respondent's Signature


Verlyn Nadell Jensen
Print Name

11/3/2010
Date


Respondent's Counsel Signature

Arthur L. Margolis
Print Name

11/4/2010
Date


Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Ashod Mooradian
Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of VERLYN NADELL JENSEN	Case Number(s): 09-C-15016-RAP
---	--

ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproof, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.**

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproof may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

11-08-10
Date


Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARD A. PLATEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on November 9, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR MARGOLIS
MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP
2000 RIVERSIDE DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:

by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:


by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.

By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ASHOD MOORADIAN, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on November 9, 2010.



Angela Carpenter
Case Administrator
State Bar Court