



FILED

AUG 21 2015

1 **SCOTT J. DREXEL, State Bar No. 65670**
2 **5195 Hampsted Village Center Way, #238**
3 **New Albany, Ohio 43054-8331**
4 **Telephone: (650) 918-8328**
5 **Attorney for Respondent**
6 **CORECIA JOY WOO**

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
In the Matter of)
CORECIA JOY WOO,) Case No. 15-O-12351 LMA
No. 214544,) **RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF**
A Member of the State Bar.) **DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**
) (Rule 5.351(C), Rules Proc. of State Bar)

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF DOCUMENTS


Pursuant to Rule 5.43(C) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California ("Rules of Procedure"), all pleadings and other documents filed in this proceeding shall be served upon and/or mailed to **SCOTT J. DREXEL**, counsel for Respondent **CORECIA JOY WOO** ("Respondent"), at the address set forth above in the caption of this Response to Notice of Disciplinary Charges ("Response").

JURISDICTION

Respondent admits that she was admitted to the practice of law in California on September 4, 2001, that she was a member of the State Bar of California at all times referenced in the Notice of Disciplinary Charges ("NDC") in this proceeding and that she is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

1 However, Respondent denies that she made any intentional misrepresentation to the
2 State Bar or to the Office of Probation or that she was grossly negligent in her failure to disclose
3 her misdemeanor conviction on her January 10, 2014 quarterly probation report. Respondent
4 discussed with her criminal defense attorney whether she had a duty to report her criminal
5 conviction to the State Bar and reviewed the provisions of Business and Professions Code
6 section 6101 and 6102. As a result, Respondent correctly concluded that she had no duty to
7 report her conviction under those provisions. However, Respondent negligently failed to
8 recognize that she had a duty to report her conviction to the Office of Probation as a
9 consequence of the conditions of her State Bar probation in State Bar Court Case No. 08-O-
10 10238, *et al.* Respondent specifically denies that she willfully violated Business and
11 Professions Code section 6106.

12
13 Dated: August 15, 2015

14
15 
16 _____
17 Scott J. Drexel
18 Attorney for Respondent
19 Corecia Joy Woo
20
21
22
23
24
25

